SMALL BUSINESS

ADVISORY COMMITTEE

ON VETERANS BUSINESS AFFAIRS

Wednesday, January 16, 2008

9:08 a.m.

Small Business Administration
409 Third Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C.

Diversified Reporting Services, Inc. (202) 467-9200

A G E N D A

ITEM	PAGE
Administrative matters	3
Presentation by Dennis Brown re loan programs	32
Recurring SBA Reports	
Office of Advocacy Briefint	43
TVC Report and Plan Development Discussion	141
Lunch	154
Oversight Panel	
Committee Oversight Discussion	
VA Update on Vets First	
DOD Update or Selected Meeting Topic	
Public Comment	
Internal Committee Discussions	

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (9:08 a.m.)
- MR. CELLI: Good morning. It's January 16,
- 4 2008. Happy New Year. We're going to start our first
- 5 meeting of the year for the SBA Advisory Committee on
- 6 Veterans Business Affairs.
- We'll go around the room, starting with
- 8 Mr. Mancini, take a quick roll call.
- 9 MR. MANCINI: Here.
- MR. GROSS: Mark Gross. Here.
- 11 MR. DeMOLET: Dennis DeMolet. Here.
- MR. BEDNARCZYK: Tom Bednarczyk. Here.
- MR. ELMORE: Bill Elmore from SBA.
- MR. CELLI: Louis Celli. Here.
- MR. ADAMS: Paul Adams. Here.
- MR. SHARPE: George Sharpe. Here.
- MR. VARGAS: Felix Vargas. Here.
- MR. MILLER: Ron Miller. Here.
- MR. CELLI: All right. Let's, real quick,
- what do you say we cover the agenda, and after we get
- started on that, Phillip can check on the phone so that
- we can have your guest call in about the Patriot

- 1 Express. Right?
- Our last meeting, Bill was on travel, so it
- 3 made it a little bit difficult to schedule for the new
- 4 year, whereas that was the last meeting of 2007, and we
- 5 hadn't really ironed out whether we were even going to
- 6 be able to show up in 2008.
- Historically, we get reauthorized piecemeal
- year by year. We can talk more about that later as we
- 9 get into the meeting. But I think one of the first
- orders of business that we should look at is our
- existence for 2008.
- 12 If I understand correctly, SBA submitted a
- legal opinion that we fall under the continuing
- 14 resolution. And at what point could that no longer be
- valid? So in other words, what I'd like to do is be
- able to say, let's schedule the next -- you know, our
- next meetings through 2008, which I think we should do
- anyway, even in the off chance that, for whatever
- 19 reason, the SBA advisory committee no longer exists.
- 20 And then --
- MR. ELMORE: My understanding is that the
- committee is going through 2008 because the agency, I

- believe, is good through 2008. But I haven't gotten
- any sort of opinion from the attorneys or from our
- 3 congressional office, for that matter.
- But generally if there's something other than
- 5 that, they would inform me. So I just simply haven't
- tried to secure that. So I'm operating under the
- assumption that we're good to go for the rest of this
- 8 year.
- 9 MR. CELLI: Okay. We're meeting for -- let's
- clear up the order situation. We're technically
- meeting here today for one day. When we all got the
- orders, it talked about travel day yesterday and travel
- day day after tomorrow, which kind of allowed for two
- days. And then Cheryl sent us an e-mail saying the
- meeting day is only one day.
- So technically, we're meeting today. And the
- future meetings, the next three meetings, will we be
- authorized one day or two days?
- MR. ELMORE: Well, every committee in the
- agency meets for one day when they meet. And I've been
- 21 trying to push back the one day because that's just
- 22 structurally how all of our other committees work. We

- originally go on to two-day meetings early on when the
- committee was first established, Dennis, when you were
- yice chair, I think, and then chair, because of the
- 4 time frames involved in getting the committee
- 5 structurally up and going, going through the whole
- 6 process with the -- the federal advisory committee
- 7 process, GSA and everybody else.
- 8 So that's why we went to two days, simply to
- 9 give the committee enough time to conduct its work.
- MR. CELLI: I think that's the understanding
- that we're at now, is that it's really difficult, you
- 12 know, to kind of all shuttle in here on one day and get
- everything done. And if we had the luxury and freedom
- of having a two-day meeting, then we would be able
- really get our working groups together on the second
- day while conducting kind of the public meeting on the
- 17 first day.
- MR. ADAMS: Mr. Chairman, Paul Adams. In our
- 19 report for last year, we put in it a proposed agenda
- for two-day meetings to show what we would do for the
- 21 two days because it really is almost impossible to do
- the sort of things we want to do in a one-day

- 1 meeting -- getting the information, getting people in,
- talking about it and processing it and making it
- 3 worthwhile.
- And I know today's -- the agenda was that you
- were going to bring in agencies to talk to them about
- 6 what they were doing. We didn't have enough advance
- 7 notice of this date to even invite anybody in for that.
- And we really do need to know when we're meeting so
- 9 that we can invite agencies, and then how many days it
- is so we can plan some kind of a firm agenda.
- MR. ELMORE: Yes. I think the meeting dates
- is easier. And I'll get back to your point in a
- minute. Part of it's driven by my budget, and part of
- 14 it was I didn't know what my budget was literally until
- 15 last week.
- Well, now I know what my budget is, so I know
- how much I've got on what's called my operations side,
- and I know how much I've got on what's called my
- outreach side. The outreach is the funds that comes
- directly from Congress for the five centers that we
- fund. The operations side is everything else,
- including this committee.

- Now, I didn't get a lot of extra, but I've got
- enough that I can support this committee. I can do the
- district office outreach. I can do the reserve
- 4 environment. I can do the travel that I do and that
- 5 increasingly Billy Jenkins does on procurement.
- 6 So back to your point. I've probably been as
- 7 much as anybody inside the pressure towards one day
- 8 simply because that jibes with everything else that
- 9 I've ever done in this town, including the two
- 10 committee organizations that I represent the
- 11 administrator on. The DOL committee I sit on meets for
- one day, but we usually do a dinner the night before.
- MR. CELLI: Well, and if I may, the DOL
- 14 committee and the other federal committees are
- primarily comprised of federal officials from within
- the Beltway.
- MR. ELMORE: Actually, DOL is not. But
- anyway, back to the point. I'm more flexible about
- 19 this than I make it sound like. And now that I know
- what my budget is, it's simply a matter of paying for
- 21 hotels for an extra night.
- MR. CELLI: Well, and I guess we could -- I

- 1 mean, how many people here require a hotel room to
- begin with? Raise your hand.
- 3 (A show of hands.)
- 4 MR. CELLI: Okay. So it's about half.
- 5 MR. ELMORE: But regardless of that price, now
- 6 that I've got a budget -- see, I didn't have a budget
- 7 before. I didn't have an internal budget and I didn't
- have my five-center budget. Now that I've got a
- 9 budget, I can budget if we need to go to two-day
- 10 meetings. And if that's the consensus you guys reach
- and you make that recommendation to me, I think I'll
- 12 probably go with that.
- I just simply -- my experience early on was
- that because we started with two-day meetings, I felt a
- 15 lot of pressure that I thought was inappropriate from
- some of the committee members to continue to do two-day
- meetings. And I wasn't sure it was that productive.
- But this committee's not that old committee,
- and I think you guys are more productive.
- MR. CELLI: Okay. Fair enough.
- MR. ELMORE: So I'm open to that. And what I
- would hope we do today is, if we have an array, set

- 1 those dates. Set them as firmly as we can and --
- MR. ADAMS: We had proposed dates. And what I
- would ask is we can come up with dates, but that simply
- 4 put, what always ends up happening is we don't know
- 5 when we can schedule a conference room.
- 6 MR. ELMORE: Right.
- 7 MR. ADAMS: So we end up -- even if we set a
- date, we don't find out till it's too late, what we'd
- 9 like to be able to do is pick dates and have somebody
- 10 come back and say, yes. Those will be the dates,
- because we want to invite agencies in and we can't send
- out -- we actually communicated dates in to the agency
- 13 back in October.
- MR. ELMORE: Right.
- MR. ADAMS: And I know there was a budget
- issue, but we're still sitting looking at when can we
- 17 get dates so that we can invite somebody in so we can
- do -- and I think you've seen in our report, there were
- 19 specific things we want to try to do this year on a
- two-day agenda that require us to be able to plan out
- 21 and invite agencies.
- MR. CELLI: And from a monetary point of view,

- 1 getting the letters of invite out, if we know the dates
- a year in advance, we can go ahead and schedule our own
- 3 travel and get tickets at probably a third of what I
- 4 had to pay this time. And I was afraid to order them
- 5 earlier because I ordered tickets for the first meeting
- 6 that didn't happen.
- 7 MR. ELMORE: Yes. Right.
- MR. CELLI: So, I mean, we can save a lot of
- 9 money if we get those letters of invite out at least 30
- days in advance.
- MR. ELMORE: Back to your report. If you guys
- tell me today, here's the dates we want, now, I'm
- always subject to getting booted out of this room. And
- that's the trouble we have internally because the
- administrator's office can bump everybody out of these
- 16 rooms.
- This afternoon there's what's called a
- management board meeting. It's going to be over there.
- 19 And I didn't find out about that meeting till
- yesterday. And I said, oh, well, does this mean that
- we're going to get booted out of here? Apparently not.
- 22 Apparently they think they can squeeze it into the one

- 1 side.
- But that's what tends to happen. It's not
- that we sit down and go, well, let's make sure that the
- 4 meeting gets disrupted because somebody else comes
- 5 along and grabs it. But there's a hierarchical process
- 6 that we don't get to manage.
- So back to the point. Based on the report or
- 8 however you want to approach it, if we can come up with
- 9 what you think are the dates of the next three
- meetings, then we'll go ahead and reserve the room now.
- 11 And we'll go ahead and pencil those in on our calendar,
- and I'll push Cheryl to go ahead and try to accelerate
- some of the other stuff about the letters for travel
- and those kinds of things.
- 15 MR. CELLI: Is it -- I understood -- because
- last year we did this early on and it worked really
- well. We had all three dates set. Is it possible for
- her to go see the scheduler while we're here today
- 19 and --
- MR. ELMORE: Yes. She can go see -- she can
- 21 go look now and see what's on the schedule.
- MR. CELLI: Because we do have those dates.

- MR. ADAMS: I was looking and I don't have any
- of the dates.
- MR. BEDNARCZYK: I have the dates right here.
- 4 MR. ADAMS: Do you? Okay.
- 5 MR. BEDNARCZYK: Do you want to go over them
- 6 quick?
- 7 MR. ELMORE: If you would.
- MR. BEDNARCZYK: The next schedule we had was
- 9 March 10th, 11th, 12th, and 13th, with the 11th and
- 10 12th being the meeting dates; and then June 16, 17, 18,
- and 19, with 17 and 18 being the meeting dates.
- MR. MILLER: Say those again.
- MR. BEDNARCZYK: March 10, 11, 12, 13.
- 14 June 16, 17, 18, 19. And then we had selected
- 15 September 15, 16, 17, and 18 for -- 16 and 17 for the
- 16 final report.
- MR. CELLI: And then we also suggested -- for
- each meeting, we also had backup dates. Remember? I
- didn't write those down.
- MR. ELMORE: Well, when Cheryl gets back down,
- 21 I'll have her recheck the schedule and make sure of
- 22 them.

- MR. GROSS: I know that March date is the DOD
- 2 mentor/protege conference.
- MR. DeMOLET: Yes, it is. The big one.
- 4 MR. GROSS: The big one.
- MR. CELLI: Well, do we have another date we
- 6 want to look at? If that's going to be a conflict for
- 7 a lot of people --
- MR. GROSS: Yes. That's not only for
- 9 existing, but it's for potential.
- MR. MILLER: The March dates are not good for
- me, either.
- MR. CELLI: So do you want to look at the --
- MR. DeMOLET: The week after that.
- MR. CELLI: The week after?
- MR. DeMOLET: Yes.
- MR. CELLI: No. I can't do it the week after.
- MR. DeMOLET: You can't do it the week after?
- MR. CELLI: Because that is the Legion
- 19 conference.
- MR. ADAMS: The Legion conference is early in
- 21 the first segment or the --
- MR. CELLI: Right. But if we go the week

- after -- oh, March 11th and 12th. Well, the 17th is
- St. Patrick's Day, so that's fine with me. So we're
- looking at March 18th and 19th. Is that right?
- 4 MR. MILLER: Which would be 17 through 20.
- 5 MR. CELLI: Say again?
- MR. MILLER: Which would be 17 through 20.
- 7 MR. CELLI: Seventeen through 20. Right.
- MR. ELMORE: Well, when Cheryl gets back down
- 9 here, I'll have her go check the schedule and see if
- there's anything on these that would preclude this.
- MR. CELLI: Right. That's 18 and 19.
- 12 MR. ELMORE: Yes. Change in that one -- that
- would preclude this.
- MR. CELLI: Okay. Cool.
- MR. ELMORE: And I'll go ahead and support the
- two days now that I know what the budget is. The issue
- for me is always what I spend here, I don't spend
- there. That's just the mix you go through.
- MR. CELLI: All right. Are we all good on
- 20 dates?
- MR. ELMORE: Okay. So I'll have her check
- that and make sure that at least tentatively, that

- 1 those look good as far as use of the room.
- MR. CELLI: And the -- well, we can work out
- 3 the structure of the days later.
- The other thing is the permanent existence of
- 5 the committee. There is, as many or maybe all of you
- 6 very well know, that there's always been a lot of
- 7 legislation floating around, at least ever since I've
- been a part of this committee, that has always talked
- 9 about some form of extension of the committee.
- 10 Predominantly, it's been a permanent extension.
- If, as I understand it, the Senate bill which
- has been floating around in the Senate Small Business
- 13 Committee for about a year and a half now, 1784, goes
- to the floor this week, which is I guess what they're
- trying to push for, in that piece of legislation there
- is, to the best of my knowledge, an uncontested entry
- that talks about permanent extension of the advisory
- committee, which makes it permanent under the Small
- 19 Business Administration and under the office.
- So it would be what we do now pretty much
- forever. I mean, if that's the case, it's just going
- to make our lives so much easier so that every January,

- we're not going through this same limbo state of what
- we're going to do.
- The other thing is, there are, as far as I'm
- aware, at least four or five pending applications for
- 5 additional members to be nominated for this committee.
- 6 And I found out yesterday that Matt Teague no longer is
- in the position that he used to occupy, and that
- 8 position has not been backfilled yet.
- 9 So those of us who remember before Matt
- 10 Teague, whenever there was an interim person, it was
- very difficult to get those applications processed,
- which I'm not sure is going to be the same issue now.
- 13 It's not controlled by your office. Right?
- MR. ELMORE: No.
- MR. CELLI: It's controlled directly by the
- 16 administrator's office?
- MR. ELMORE: Yes. It's in the administrator's
- office. Matt Teague was the White House liaison. So
- what we don't have yet, at least that I know of, is a
- White House liaison. And Matt ended up, I think, the
- deputy chief of staff for a while. And I honestly
- don't know where he went. I think he may have gone

- over to the White House himself, but I'm just not sure.
- MR. CELLI: All right. How can we get a
- 3 handle on that?
- 4 MR. ELMORE: Well, why don't you just do me an
- 5 e-mail and I will -- I'll give it to my new chief of
- 6 staff and say, hey, I want to make sure that stuff
- 7 doesn't fall off the table as far as these people going
- 8 through clearance for appointment to this committee.
- 9 MR. CELLI: Right. How many committees does
- the SBA oversee?
- MR. ELMORE: I honestly don't know because I
- haven't sat down and tried to keep track of them. I
- know SBDC has one. There's the National Advisory
- 14 Committee, which is even in more limbo than this. I
- think there has been a committee created for the
- administrator of some of our district directors, and I
- 17 think there is a Lender Oversight Committee that's made
- up of some people from the lending community.
- MR. CELLI: And there's women.
- MR. ELMORE: Yes. Well, the National Women's
- 21 Business Council is hosted by SBA, but we don't oversee
- it. They're typically funded through our budget. So

- it gets a little less clear. They're kind of like the
- 2 National Vets Business Corporation.
- MR. CELLI: But who makes recommendations for
- 4 their committee? I mean, does that go through -- would
- 5 that have come under Matt Teague as well?
- MR. ELMORE: I honestly don't know.
- 7 MR. CELLI: So what I'm trying to get at is:
- 8 We're not the only ones in this boat?
- 9 MR. ELMORE: No. You're right. There's a
- 10 committee management officer, which is what Matt was.
- 11 And that committee management officer is responsible
- for all the committees that the agency has, which I
- think is four or five.
- MR. DeMOLET: Bill, what was Donna's role?
- MR. ELMORE: Well, Donna was basically an
- assistant to the chief of staff and an assistant to
- 17 Matt as the White House liaison. And she was doing a
- lot of the functional stuff. Now, I was told
- 19 yesterday -- and I don't like getting into names on the
- 20 record -- but I understand that she's up for some sort
- of a promotion. What that promotion is to, I honestly
- don't know.

- MR. DeMOLET: From an operational capacity?
- MR. ELMORE: So she's still -- that woman you
- mentioned is still on the seventh floor and still
- 4 operating and working in the administrator's office.
- 5 What role she's moving to, I just am not clear.
- 6 There's been a couple of changes. We have a new chief
- ⁷ of staff coming on board.
- MR. DeMOLET: Yes.
- 9 MR. ELMORE: Another woman who was a special
- 10 assistant has gone up to take over government
- 11 contracting and business development. She's a former
- deputy chief of staff. And my guess is there may be
- some other changes as well. We just don't know. But
- with the new chief of staff coming on board, we're all
- kind of anticipating some additional moves.
- MR. CELLI: Well, this is certainly one of the
- best attended meetings we've had in quite a while,
- which is good, because then we get the input from
- everybody. We'll just have to follow up to try to get
- 20 the --
- MR. ELMORE: So you're going to do me an
- e-mail.

- MR. CELLI: Yes.
- MR. ELMORE: Just specifically about that.
- 3 And then as I get the answer, I'll shoot it back to
- 4 you, and then you go ahead and send it out to your
- 5 board if that's what you choose to do.
- MR. CELLI: Yes. And does anybody here,
- besides myself -- I know that I have four or five
- 8 nominations for members of this committee. If you have
- 9 nominations, why don't you send them to me, and in the
- e-mail I send to Bill, I will send copies of all of
- those nomination packets and their resumes.
- MR. ELMORE: There was three -- just as an
- aside, I was in Chicago Friday and Saturday for what is
- 14 a new national organization called Student Veterans of
- 15 America. And it was representatives from about 25
- different campuses, primarily Iraqi and Afghani vets
- who have come back and gone to college.
- And three of those young men at that meeting
- 19 indicated some interest in being considered for this
- 20 committee. One of them Lou had already chatted with
- before, a young guy from California; a guy from
- 22 Michigan and a guy from South Dakota.

- So I did an e-mail out to two of the three I
- 2 had e-mail addresses on two days ago and said, let me
- 3 know if you're interested in being considered and then
- 4 I'll have Cheryl contact you. And I gave the message
- 5 to Cheryl. And she's now in communication with all
- 6 three of those guys to see if they want to be
- 7 considered for the committee.
- 8 So that's the only ones I'm aware of other
- 9 than what was already in the --
- MR. CELLI: Off the top of my head, I can
- think of five that we have kind of in the chute. Does
- anybody here have anybody that they've nominated that I
- don't know about? So at a minimum, we have five.
- 14 Right now -- and we have a full committee. So it's
- one, two, three, four --
- MR. GROSS: Did I ever nominate Dawn Halfacre?
- 17 MR. CELLI: You did, but she's not going to
- make it. She declined, as a matter of fact, to the
- 19 best of my knowledge.
- MR. GROSS: Did she? Wow.
- MR. CELLI: So there's nine. We're authorized
- 22 15. So the five that I can think of, if we get four of

- 1 those, we kind of need two more.
- MR. BEDNARCZYK: Is this the committee?
- MR. CELLI: As far as I know.
- 4 MR. BEDNARCZYK: Like the general is no longer
- 5 on the committee?
- 6 MR. CELLI: Correct. She opted out because of
- 7 scheduling and time and --
- MR. ELMORE: Right. I think she had some
- 9 overseas commitments as well, as I recall.
- MR. GROSS: Oh, the gal that I had --
- 11 MR. ELMORE: Yes. There was a woman who had
- 12 attended one meeting.
- MR. GROSS: I recommended her.
- MR. ELMORE: Oh, okay. Yes.
- MR. GROSS: A two-star. She dropped out? I'm
- getting a complex here. Every time I refer anybody --
- MR. ELMORE: I haven't talked to Dawn about
- that. I didn't know that she'd withdrawn her name.
- MR. GROSS: I didn't know, either.
- MR. ELMORE: I did talk to her about the Army
- thing, and as far as I know, that they followed up with
- 22 her. And I believe she's in that mix.

- MR. GROSS: Well, which one?
- MR. ELMORE: The Army manages that program.
- We'll talk to that later.
- 4 MR. GROSS: I'm not familiar with it.
- 5 MR. ELMORE: Yes. I'll wait till we get to my
- 6 time.
- 7 MR. GROSS: I helped her with financing with
- 8 Crestmark Bank down in Richmond.
- 9 MR. CELLI: All right. That's not that
- 10 program, is it? Oh, okay. All right.
- 11 What Paul and I were just talking about was
- the schedule, which we can all talk about right now.
- 13 And that is, we want to talk about the structure of the
- oversight panel, which we didn't get to do this time
- basically because we weren't sure if we were going to
- meet and we weren't sure for how many days we were
- 17 going to meet.
- And the one-day meeting doesn't really allow
- 19 for the type of oversight panels that we had planned
- on. So now that we know that we're going to two-day
- meetings, we can start to plan for these oversight
- 22 panels.

- 1 And Paul, you want to --
- MR. ADAMS: Well, what I was going to propose
- for an agenda, based on what we had now, is we have
- 4 recurring reports from the SBA in the afternoon. And I
- 5 thought, Bill, if we could have those moved up to this
- 6 morning, if that's possible, because there would be the
- 7 most amount of flexibility there if there's anything to
- 8 report. Then we could be talking about our committee
- 9 oversight discussion and what it is that we're going to
- 10 do.
- 11 After lunch, do we still have somebody, Felix,
- 12 from TVC coming?
- MR. VARGAS: That's correct.
- MR. ADAMS: Okay. They're coming. We do have
- Gail Wagner from the VA going to come at 1330. And we
- don't, to my knowledge, have a DOD invitee, but we can
- talk about a topic on DOD. But if we move the
- recurring reports up to this morning, we could do our
- 19 internal discussions prior to the public comment
- 20 period.
- MR. ELMORE: Mr. Chairman, I can move me up
- easily. I'll have to check with Billy; he may have a

- 1 couple of appointments. I just -- because he was out
- yesterday, I think, Dennis, with you. So I'm not sure.
- 3 I think he had two other appointments today.
- 4 MR. DeMOLET: Yes. He's got one of them.
- 5 MR. ELMORE: So I'll see what his time frame
- is. Advocacy I don't think I can move. We've got the
- 7 chief economist. But by moving me and/or Billy out of
- 8 that time slot, it helps advocacy because they've been
- bugging me, saying they need at least a half hour.
- So depending on how you want to do this, if
- 11 you want me to go try to catch up with Billy now. Or
- 12 Cheryl, if you can go check with Billy and see if he's
- got some time this morning when he can come down and
- make a presentation about his activities and the whole
- procurement program. And the sooner the better, as far
- as I'm concerned.
- MR. CELLI: And advocacy, that's going to be
- an excellent presentation because if you don't know
- 19 already, advocacy released a report last month that
- spoke specifically to veterans in business, to small
- businesses across America, and how one of the primary
- 22 indicators of a successful small business owner is now

- 1 military service. And I'm sure he'll bring copies of
- 2 that report as well.
- MR. ELMORE: Yes. Yes, he's going to. So
- 4 that will help on that front.
- 5 MR. CELLI: Do we know who from TVC is coming?
- 6 MR. VARGAS: I believe in the e-mail traffic,
- 7 we saw the name John Mingey.
- MR. CELLI: John Mingey. Okay. Or Jim
- 9 Mingey. Jim. I wasn't sure --
- MR. VARGAS: Walt Blackwell, my understanding
- is Walt Blackwell will also be here.
- MR. ELMORE: Advocacy will be here, I believe,
- at 12:00. I mean, if you want, I can go up and check
- with them and see if they could move that up a little
- 15 earlier.
- MR. CELLI: That's fine.
- MR. ELMORE: Since we're getting the chief
- economist, his schedule is usually pretty tight.
- MR. CELLI: Okay. Well, I wanted to talk
- about conducting the oversight panels, too. So we can
- 21 either do that --
- MR. BEDNARCZYK: I just called Dennis in

- 1 Connecticut and told him that we'd get hold of him as
- 2 soon as we get -- I asked Cheryl about the room across
- 3 the way because you hold conferences there. And it
- 4 probably has a speakerphone. And we could just go
- 5 across there to do it. We probably wouldn't be on the
- official record, but maybe we could come back and then
- ⁷ paraphrase what we do.
- But Dennis's schedule is that after lunch, he
- 9 has a series of meetings. So it would be nice to try
- to do this. And I know you're not -- it doesn't look
- 11 like anybody's being successful in getting a
- speakerphone for this room.
- MR. CELLI: Let's go off the record. We're
- going to take a break, go off the record.
- 15 (A brief recess was taken.)
- MR. CELLI: We're back on the record.
- MR. BROWN: VTC. This is Dennis Brown.
- MR. BEDNARCZYK: Hey, Dennis, this is Tom.
- MR. BROWN: Hi, Tom. How are you?
- MR. BEDNARCZYK: Good. We've got the SBA
- 21 Advisory Committee for Veterans Business Affairs here,
- and you're going to be on record.

- 1 MR. BROWN: Okay.
- MR. BEDNARCZYK: Just as a -- there are nine
- members sitting here. I don't know if they want to --
- 4 do you want to go around and introduce yourselves to
- 5 Dennis?
- 6 MR. MANCINI: Hi, Dennis. My name is Frank
- 7 Mancini from Los Angeles, California.
- MR. BROWN: How are you?
- 9 MR. MANCINI: I'm okay. And you?
- MR. BROWN: Good.
- MR. GROSS: Dennis Mark Gross from Raleigh,
- 12 North Carolina.
- MR. BROWN: Okay.
- MR. DeMOLET: Dennis DeMolet from Dayton,
- 15 Ohio.
- MR. BROWN: Oh, my old haunt. My brother
- 17 lives in Dayton.
- MR. DeMOLET: Oh, good. Thank you for sharing
- 19 that.
- MR. CELLI: Good morning, Dennis. My name is
- 21 Louis Celli. I'm the committee chair. I'd like to
- thank you for calling in and volunteering your time to

- share your experiences with us this morning.
- 2 MR. ADAMS: Paul Adams from Henderson. Good
- 3 morning.
- MR. BROWN: Good morning, Paul. Good morning,
- 5 Louis.
- 6 MR. VARGAS: Felix Vargas from Pasco,
- 7 Washington.
- MR. BROWN: What a crew.
- 9 MR. MILLER: Ron Miller, Jonesboro, Arkansas.
- MR. BROWN: Hi, Ron.
- MR. CELLI: And that's it.
- 12 MR. BEDNARCZYK: Let me first introduce
- Dennis. Dennis is in my neck of the woods, in
- 14 Connecticut. He's with the Connecticut Committee
- 15 Investment Corporation. The corporation is a nonprofit
- 16 economic development lender for small businesses within
- the state of Connecticut. And particularly, Dennis
- operates out of the New Haven County area.
- And what they do is they give small business
- 20 access to capital that may not be available elsewhere,
- and they participate in the SBA programs, the 504 and
- the micro-loan program. They're a priority certified

- development corporation for the 504 program. So Dennis
- very familiar --
- MR. BEDNARCZYK: Are you there?
- 4 MR. CELLI: Still here.
- MR. BROWN: Okay. I thought somebody hung up
- 6 there.
- 7 MR. BEDNARCZYK: And Dennis is very familiar
- 8 with the SBA loan programs.
- 9 I've known Dennis for about three years with
- some of the veteran activity that goes on in the New
- Haven County area. And I passed out, Dennis, to the
- group the e-mail that you sent me on November 9th after
- we had met up with each other and you had described
- some of the issues. So they've had a chance to look at
- your e-mail.
- So I'll turn the podium, so to speak, over to
- you, and maybe you could share with them some of the
- boots-on-the-ground experience with the Patriot loan
- and to make the points that you made to me in our
- November meeting.
- MR. BROWN: Okay. You have my name for the
- record? You have everything for the record that you

- 1 need?
- MR. CELLI: Yes, we do.
- MR. BROWN: Okay. I work to try to get people
- 4 to get into business any way they can, whether it's
- 5 through the micro-loan program or whether it's through
- 6 other programs in the state. I work a lot with the
- 7 veterans. I work a lot with disabled vets.
- 8 One of the things when the Patriot loan
- 9 program came on board, we tried to distinguish it from
- 10 regular loan programs that were out there. And it's
- here that we're having a little bit of a difficulty
- 12 because bankers tend to go with what they know, which
- is the 7(a) program. And if people qualify for 7(a),
- we can't even identify them at this point as vets.
- MR. CELLI: You mean through the 7(a) program?
- MR. BROWN: I'm sorry. I thought somebody was
- talking back there.
- MR. CELLI: No, no, no. Be my guest.
- MR. BROWN: Okay. So the 7(a) program is a
- viable program, but the Patriot loan is not a 7(a)
- 21 program. It's meant to distinguish vets. I have some
- 22 credit issues coming out of this program because I have

- 1 veterans coming back off two or three tours, and their
- credit has suffered, which means they typically don't
- qualify for a 7(a) program, which means they don't
- 4 qualify for a Patriot loan program.
- And I can heal their credit, but I need time,
- 6 but I need to get them working when they come back.
- ⁷ Some of them have lost their businesses. Some of them
- 8 are looking to start their businesses. I can't get
- 9 them qualified into those programs because -- and I
- need to be careful how I state this because it's a
- 11 credit enhancement. Typically, that's what the SBA is.
- 12 I'm talking about we need to deal in some
- 13 credit numbers, in other words, the FICA scores. Banks
- 14 are FICA lenders, which is they go by credit score and
- qualify people off of that credit score. So right now
- 16 I'm having trouble getting all but one bank to fully
- participate in the Patriot loan program because I don't
- think they have enough training or background.
- Also, the second piece of this puzzle is the
- fact that the fee coverage that is allowable under this
- 21 program is very high. So the bank that is doing a lot
- of Patriot loans has dropped those fees, all but one,

- 1 to get people involved and to get them in. Their
- thinking is because they are vets, we need to pay them
- 3 back the same way they paid for us.
- 4 And that's exactly how we need to modify the
- 5 program to make it a little more versatile in bank
- 6 terms. It's great that we have this program, but if we
- 7 can't get the banks to distinguish it, we have a lot of
- 8 vets that fall just underneath qualifying for that
- 9 because they have taken the credit hit coming back.
- In a nutshell, that's how I look at the
- banking situation. We have the program. We need to
- 12 distinguish it. We have the SBA guarantee. It goes up
- very nicely. But what it does do is it actually pushes
- people to go to the 7(a) program because it's a lot
- easier for the banks because that's what they know.
- And consequently now, I get a lot of that
- 17 coming back. I have banks turning my vets over to
- mortgage brokers to get them money, which is the last
- 19 place I want to be able to send them.
- MR. CELLI: Dennis, thank you very much. This
- is Louis. I have just a few quick observations.
- MR. BROWN: Okay.

- MR. CELLI: And make no mistake about it, I'm
- 2 not here to defend or crush the Patriot Express. I'll
- just tell you what I do know about it based on the
- 4 briefings that we've been in and based on the
- interaction we've had with the SBA regarding this when
- 6 it first came out.
- 7 The fact that most bankers don't know about it
- 8 is kind of surprising because the Patriot Express is
- 9 probably one of the few programs in recent history that
- they have really made a concerted effort to get a good
- 11 media blitz out on. And I know that for a couple of
- days, it was in the papers quite a bit regarding the
- 13 Patriot Express.
- Now, I'm not sure about your specific
- geographic area, and maybe the SBA up in that area
- didn't do a good job about getting the word out to the
- people. But in some of the other areas, I know that it
- was very well publicized.
- MR. BROWN: Can I add to that?
- MR. CELLI: Sure.
- MR. BROWN: The people know about the Patriot
- loan. That's what's putting us into this. The

- 1 training piece comes back from the banks. So it is
- 2 actually to have the SBA work closer with the banks to
- say, this is a veteran program, and this is why we're
- 4 doing it, and this is what we can do to help them.
- 5 MR. CELLI: Right. The other thing was -- I'm
- 6 sorry. Go ahead.
- 7 MR. ADAMS: Dennis, this is Paul Adams. If I
- 8 understand you right, then, the SBA did a good job of
- 9 getting the word to the veterans, but has done a poor
- job of getting the specifics to the banks.
- MR. BROWN: In my opinion, that is absolutely
- 12 correct. To get them to participate in a fuller -- as
- opposed to this very high credit 7(a) look that they
- 14 typically get.
- MR. CELLI: Right. And the Patriot Express
- has been out for about, what, six, eight months now?
- MR. BROWN: Since last June, I believe.
- MR. CELLI: Right. The other thing is you
- 19 talked about the credit issues, and I think it was just
- serendipitous timing that the Patriot Express came out
- really about the same time we were talking about
- returning veterans. And there was a lot of emphasis

- being looked at with regard to veteran business owners,
- 2 Guard and Reserve members who had businesses and lost
- 3 them.
- 4 And the truth is that the Patriot Express was
- 5 never intended to be a vehicle to address those issues
- and needs. We understand that those are definitely the
- issues and needs that we need to address, and in our
- 8 reports and in our research, those are the things that
- 9 we're looking at now.
- But you're right, the Patriot Express wasn't
- designed to address those credit issues with the
- diminished credit capabilities of Guard and Reserve
- members who have suffered business losses due to
- deployment.
- So I understand why you're frustrated in
- seeing those issues. But just know that that's not
- what the Patriot Express was designed to do. And
- possibly, had they known that that was going to be an
- issue, they could have built that in. But the Patriot
- 20 Express was in development and rolled out after they
- realized they were going to have that much more of an
- issue.

- MR. BROWN: The problem with that is if they
- 2 make it look like a 7(a) loan, it's a 7(a) loan. And
- if they qualify for a 7(a) loan, banks don't go out to
- 4 educate themselves to see what they can do for a
- 5 Patriot loan.
- 6 MR. CELLI: Right. And I just want to let you
- 7 know we have about two more minutes until our next
- 8 briefing.
- 9 Felix Vargas?
- MR. VARGAS: This is Felix Vargas. I am
- 11 reading your points here, and I'm asking myself, if
- this is the situation where you're at, I wonder if this
- isn't true in other geographic locations in the U.S.
- What is it you're asking your committee to do?
- 15 To kind of take this issue up with SBA? To look into
- this to see what can be done to resolve the problem
- that you're experiencing there?
- MR. BROWN: I would love -- and I would love
- 19 to have the SBA -- because it's a great program, I
- would love to have the SBA go in and say, here's what
- we're looking to do to the banks to get them to move on
- some of the issues that are in there, some of the fees

- 1 that could be dropped or minimized.
- I hate to see double fee structures put into
- place against veterans. I am a vet, so --
- 4 MR. VARGAS: Thank you.
- 5 MR. ELMORE: Dennis?
- 6 MR. BROWN: Yes?
- 7 MR. ELMORE: This is Bill Elmore. I'm from
- 8 the SBA veterans office.
- 9 MR. BROWN: Hi, Bill.
- MR. ELMORE: And I'm sorry I came in late so I
- missed your discussion. I've got a copy of the e-mail.
- 12 If you want to provide some insight into some
- very specific things that we can do, I will take those
- 14 recommendations to the leadership in the agency. I
- can't say they'll act on them, but I certainly will
- take them because I know that there's issues around
- this as well. That's partly why it's a pilot, so that
- we've got some flexibility to try to continue to tweak
- 19 this thing.
- MR. BROWN: Okay. Yes, it's a great program.
- It's just getting mixed up with other programs out
- 22 there.

- 1 MR. ELMORE: Talk with your committee or my
- committee here, but I make that offer as well. And you
- 3 know how to e-mail SBA, so just look for
- william.elmore@sba.gov, and that's me.
- 5 MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you very much.
- 6 MR. CELLI: And we have a final question from
- 7 Dennis DeMolet.
- MR. DeMOLET: Your buddy from Dayton, Ohio.
- 9 Mr. Elmore, and I know the chairman, Mr. Celli, know
- that the last -- oh, I guess the last five months or
- so, I've been working around the country. And I've
- been working with a lot of the lending instructions --
- 13 First Merit Bank, Bank of America, I can go on.
- 14 Actually, I think I worked with about 16 different
- 15 banks.
- Your issues are very much in line with what's
- going on across the country. That's to answer
- 18 Mr. Vargas's question. Give you an example: A lot of
- the banks will redirect veterans to other loan programs
- because the points are too high on the Patriot Express
- loan.
- MR. BROWN: Correct.

- MR. DeMOLET: And they'll either put them
- within other SBA lending programs, including Community
- 3 Express or the Express loan program, and they'll find
- 4 if they don't have a score of 700-plus, they're not
- 5 going to -- they tell them not to go to the Patriot
- 6 loan program.
- 7 MR. BROWN: Correct.
- 8 MR. DeMOLET: And it also deals a lot in the
- 9 various areas with when they do get deployed and they
- come back, you're absolutely right, they get hurt with
- their credit score rating and the FICA score as well.
- MR. BROWN: That's where we've seen most of
- 13 the damage, yes.
- MR. DeMOLET: Yes. So what some of the banks
- have been doing to gain numbers in -- first of all, the
- SBA has to approve those lenders. Not every bank will
- jump in the Patriot loan program with that unless they
- have SBA approval.
- There's over 500 lending institutions right
- now, and part of the other area on that fee coverage
- you're talking about, you know, their up-front fee --
- MR. BROWN: Yes.

- MR. DeMOLET: -- to process the application, I
- think that's criminal. And I would suggest to the
- 3 chairman that that be an action item with the SBA. And
- 4 I think this committee can at least address some of
- 5 those things.
- But a lot of the issues that you're talking
- about are happening across the country. And that's my
- 8 point that I wanted to stress.
- 9 MR. BROWN: Thank you for saying that because
- that's what we're finding here.
- MR. CELLI: All right, Dennis. Again, I want
- to thank you for your time, your insights, and your
- work within the community with our veterans. And we
- welcome you back at any time if you have additional
- information or want to update us. And we're going to
- have to move on to our next presentation.
- MR. BROWN: Okay. I appreciate the time.
- MR. CELLI: Thank you again, and have a great
- 19 day.
- MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you very much.
- MR. CELLI: Good-bye.
- MR. BROWN: Bye-bye.

- MR. CELLI: All right. Next on our agenda,
- we've invited the Office of Advocacy to give us a
- 3 briefing on some of the work that they've done over
- 4 2007, the outlook for 2008, and most importantly as far
- as we're concerned, anyway, the most recent veteran
- 6 statistics and data that was released in their December
- 7 2007 report.
- So I see Mr. Joe Sobota. I'll let Mr. Bill
- 9 Elmore introduce our guests.
- MR. ELMORE: Well, Joe Sobota from the Office
- of Advocacy is here. And he's going to introduce our
- 12 chief economist and someone else as well. And they'll
- go ahead and start their presentation.
- Joe, please.
- MR. SOBOTA: Thank you very much. I just --
- if you need anything from me, Madam Reporter, I gave
- you our cards. I'm Joe Sobota. I coordinate our
- veterans activities at the Office of Advocacy.
- I know most of you. We've been in other
- meetings before, and so I won't go through the long
- 21 version of who we are. The Office of Advocacy is an
- independent office within SBA. We have our own

- charter, our own statutory charter, our own mission,
- own personnel authorities.
- We essentially serve the same constituency as
- 4 the rest of the agency, the small businesses at large,
- 5 more than 25 million of them. But we do it in
- 6 different ways. We're very much involved in regulatory
- 7 advocacy. We work with other agencies throughout
- 8 government in order to help them reduce the impact of
- 9 their regulations on small business. We work to train
- them how to comply with the Regulatory Flexibility Act.
- And in support of our regulatory mission, and
- also as one of our original core missions, we do a lot
- of economic research. And our nexus with the veterans
- community, especially since Public Law 106-50, has been
- economic research about the role that veterans play in
- our economy, and certain disabled veterans especially.
- You probably heard me say before that we know
- 18 a lot about small businesses and we know a lot about
- 19 veterans, but the intersection of those two populations
- has been surprisingly elusive. And advocacy is trying
- to fill that knowledge gap.
- 22 And it seems to me it was about five years ago

- 1 I was speaking before this very committee, and at that
- time, we were thrilled to announce that we had
- persuaded the Census Bureau to add a couple questions
- 4 about veterans status into the 2002 survey of business
- owners. This is a survey that is done as part of their
- 6 economic census, which is done once every five years.
- And the 2002 effort, really, it's a bit of a
- 8 misnomer because the census is actually taken -- the
- 9 data, the survey instruments, are actually distributed
- in 2003. But it's based on samples that they develop
- using 2002 tax returns, and the data reflects what was
- 12 going on in 2002.
- Some of the products, though, are released
- over our next five-year cycle. In fact, they just got
- around to issuing the veterans products in July of
- 16 2007. So right now we're already preparing for the
- next cycle, and I'll say a word about that in
- 18 conclusion.
- But today, what I want to do is essentially
- provide you with a copy of our most recent product.
- 21 And that is a chapter in our Annual Report to the
- 22 President called "The Small Business Economy." For

- 1 years -- we actually have been doing this since 1982 --
- for years, this was called "The State of Small
- Business." And since 2001, we call it "The Small
- 4 Business Economy."
- 5 What we did is we have a whole chapter in here
- in which we tried to digest some very complicated and
- difficult-to-understand data that the census published,
- 8 hundreds and hundreds of pages of tables and numbers
- 9 and statistical significance factors and all kinds of
- stuff that really was not terribly user-friendly,
- although there was a lot of good information in there.
- 12 And basically, what we've tried to do is to
- make it a little more usable for our stakeholders. And
- that, of course, includes you. But this document has
- wide circulation in other federal agencies, the
- 16 Congress, associations, our friends in the vet force,
- and I know that my friend Bill over there probably
- wants a whole box of them.
- But at any rate, it's chapter 5 in here. And
- everybody here will get a copy of this, and I have
- 21 extras. And let me just at this point introduce --
- 22 because Chad's going to distribute these -- our

- advocacy's director of economic research, who really
- 2 pulls the strings on all of our economic research
- activities, is Dr. Chad Moutray. And he's going to
- 4 have a word to say in a minute. And he's accompanied
- by Dr. Jules Lichtenstein, who co-authored chapter 5
- 6 with myself. And we'll pass these out. Jules, could
- 7 you help with this?
- In the packets that you'll also get to
- 9 accompany this, we have information that the Census
- Bureau put on their website at the same time they
- 11 released these products that kind of summarizes their
- 12 products in two or three pages.
- So basically, two products. One deals with
- veteran business owners. The other deals with veteran-
- owned businesses. There's an important distinction to
- be made there. And we have a little slide show here,
- and when Cheryl gets that thing fired up --
- 18 (Pause)
- MR. SOBOTA: Well, I guess we were scheduled
- for this afternoon and the projector isn't ready yet.
- But we'll proceed, and there is a copy. My boss, the
- chief counsel for advocacy, wisely thought -- we had a

- disaster at another meeting we went to where I -- Bill,
- that was in your room -- when we had a meeting some
- years ago when the projector we had with us, the bulb
- 4 blew out. And we didn't have a printout of the
- 5 slideshow. So today we have it. Ever since then, I've
- 6 always had a copy of the slide show in your packet.
- And we'll go through that in a minute. Well, are you
- 8 the IT person? Maybe we will have a slideshow.
- The packet you have also has some information
- about our office and our brochure, and the ever-popular
- 11 facts on small business. There are also two one-page
- summaries, research summaries, we call them, of other
- advocacy research relating to veterans. These two-
- pagers -- there's two two-pagers in there -- are on our
- two most recent products.
- And one of those products was just released a
- few weeks ago. It was authored by Chad. And Chad is
- going to say a few words about that. It was a very
- interesting finding around it. This was a project that
- didn't start off to be a veteran-specific project, but
- along the way we uncovered something interesting. And
- you have a two-page summary on that, if you look at it.

- 1 It's called educational attainment, I believe.
- DR. MOUTRAY: It's on the left-hand side, if
- you pull it out. It looks like this.
- 4 MR. SOBOTA: And Chad, I'll let you go ahead.
- 5 DR. MOUTRAY: So I am of course blessed to
- 6 have Joe sitting in the same area as me. And of course
- 7 Bill comes up and teases me about the Cubs and the
- 8 Cardinals quite a bit. So I have many opportunities to
- 9 think about veterans.
- You know, in our office we're charged with not
- only looking at the importance of small business in the
- economy, but also, thanks to 106-50, looking at women
- and minorities, which we are always doing, plus
- veterans and service-disabled veterans.
- And so as part of that charge, we of course
- try to look at veterans research whenever possible.
- 17 And certainly we have done a number of veterans
- research projects. Jules, of course, one of the first
- things Jules did when he came on board, I actually
- hired him to do labor economics. One of the first
- things he did was write this chapter that you're going
- to be learning about in a second.

- And we've done a number of research projects
- over the years which have been dedicated to service-
- disabled veterans. In fact, we just did an award in
- 4 September. We gave an award away to a contractor who
- 5 has a service-disabled veteran firm. And they're going
- 6 to be looking at reservists and the impact of
- 7 reservists. And much of the reason behind that was
- 8 Bill coming into my office and saying, this is
- 9 something that we definitely want to look at.
- And so there's always those direct ways of
- 10 looking at the importance of the veteran entrepreneurs
- 12 and service-disabled veteran entrepreneurs. And we've
- done an awful lot of that. Billy, of course, used to
- be our contracting officer, and we miss him dearly that
- he's not down here any more. But he's doing a great
- job, obviously, for Bill.
- But we've done a number of these what I would
- 18 call direct contracts to vet and service-disabled vets.
- 19 But we've also tried to -- and a little bit of this has
- happened over the last year -- whenever possible, when
- we're researching some other topic. If at all
- possible, if there's a veterans data component, we'll

- 1 throw it in there and see what happens.
- So we actually have done a number of studies,
- and you have two of them in here. One of them, George
- 4 Haynes, who was actually one of our -- I think he has
- done something for us just about every single year on
- 6 primarily wealth and income and small business finance
- issues. In fact, he did something earlier this year
- gives just simply on income and wealth over the last decade.
- 9 Well, we kind of tailored that a little, bit
- specifically to veterans. So there's one sort of a
- 11 research summary in there from October, which is
- specifically geared towards them. So we've tried as
- much as possible to kind of throw that kind of stuff in
- 14 there.
- And then there's the paper I wrote, which is
- getting an awful lot of press. In fact, I wrote an
- op-ed for the Washington Post that was published on
- December 31st, ending the new year right -- or the old
- 19 year right.
- But the primary purpose, I primarily
- researched the importance of education. That's what my
- dissertation was in, was education of economics. So I

- was looking at the educational attainment of the self-
- employed.
- And in the process of doing so, they have a
- 4 nice component variable in there on whether or not
- you're a veteran. So I put it in there, thanks to you
- and to Joe. And lo and behold, it was the most
- 7 important factor in determining whether you were going
- 8 to be self-employed or not, was whether you are a
- yeteran. Okay? In fact, if you're a veteran, you're
- 10 roughly 10 percent more likely to be self-employed than
- to work for someone else.
- 12 That's a very important component, and I know
- that Bill, the second he heard it, was sort of jumping
- up and down and sending it to a number of people. I
- know that the Hill is looking at some veterans
- entrepreneurship kind of stuff. And every single press
- piece that's been done on my paper has little to do
- with education and everything to do with the military
- 19 component, I think primarily because -- and Bill and I
- 20 have talked about this -- some of the other findings, I
- think, are probably conventional wisdom.
- You know, if you have more education, you're

- more likely to be self-employed. So if you have any
- college degree, you're probably going to be 3 percent
- more likely to be self-employed than not. If you have
- a bachelor's degree, that goes up to about 4 percent
- 5 more likely. If you have something beyond that, beyond
- a bachelor's degree, it's 8 percent more likely. So
- again, additional education increases your likelihood
- 8 of being self-employed.
- 9 The other finding is that if you're
- wealthier -- and we measure wealth with the only
- variable that I have, which is home ownership or the
- value of your home or whether you have a mortgage,
- those kind of things -- and those variables are also
- very important.
- But the challenge, of course, in terms of
- marketing that is that everyone says that. If you look
- at any study, they'll tell you that education is
- important. They'll tell you that wealth or home
- ownership is important. And this study probably was
- the only one that really targeted military veterans
- 21 specifically.
- 22 And so I encourage you to look at it. I've

- only given you the research summary, but the full study
- is online, as all of our research is online. And if
- you'd like to, we can send you a copy of it.
- So that's the end of my presentation. I
- 5 primarily wanted you to learn about the data from the
- 6 Census Bureau, unless you have anything specific you'd
- 7 like for me to point out, Bill.
- MR. ELMORE: No. I just think other than
- 9 that, I think what struck me when you first presented
- this about a month ago was the surprise that you had in
- this finding. And for me, I think in all the work that
- 12 advocacy has done, and a lot of is has been great, this
- is one of the most important things that you all have
- found because it reinforces what I think most of us in
- this business already believe, and that is military
- service does play some positive role in small business
- ownership. It's just not clear what it is.
- And your finding reinforces that, which is why
- 19 I think you've gotten so much attention, because it was
- something into the broader economics community -- not
- the veterans community, but the economics community,
- where you're a professional -- that I think struck

- 1 everybody's attention.
- DR. MOUTRAY: Well, I can tell you that the
- business editor of the Washington Post contacted me
- 4 completely out of the blue asking me to write a column.
- 5 And what he really wanted, of course -- I mean, he got
- 6 what he got and he loved what he got. But what he
- really wanted, he told me after the fact, was more
- 8 information on this.
- And I think that's an opening there for SBA,
- for advocacy, for the entire veterans community if
- there's an interest there with the business editor of a
- major national paper. So I think that hopefully we can
- kind of groom that relationship over time and more
- people, I think, will be educated on the importance of
- self-employment or entrepreneurship amongst the
- veterans and disabled veterans.
- MR. CELLI: Well, Chad, I'd like to thank you
- on behalf of the committee. And do any committee
- members have any questions of Chad? I have just one,
- and that is: You mentioned when you first started that
- you've recently solicited and received a bid for a new
- research study that looks at Guard and Reserve members.

- 1 And the phone call -- as you walked in on the
- end, I don't know how much of that you heard -- talked
- 3 about the plight of Guard and Reserve business owners,
- 4 and now the diminished credit capacity that they have
- 5 based on the fact that they were removed from their
- 6 business and now, you know, are trying to get that up
- 7 and running, and are in fact damaged and at a lesser
- 8 advantage than they would have been had they not been
- 9 deployed.
- And I just -- I know that you can't direct how
- the study turns out. But I think that you can
- 12 certainly influence the types of stimuli that are
- looked at within the study. So I would definitely ask
- 14 that --
- DR. MOUTRAY: I'll pass that back along to the
- 16 COTR, who's Brian Head, who you talk to all the time as
- well, and make sure he passes that along to the
- contractor who's working on that. But that's the whole
- 19 reason why we wanted to look at this issue to begin
- with, was we recognized that veterans, the Reservist
- community, is really challenged with the constant call
- over to Iraq and Afghanistan, et cetera. And it's not

- only veterans in terms of the workers, but also the
- veteran entrepreneurs, the owners themselves.
- MR. ELMORE: If you don't mind me following up
- on that, advocacy has stepped into this when no one
- 5 else across government has. And I'm grateful for that
- 6 because there's some real issues. I think all of us
- 7 are aware of that. And I'm grateful that you guys have
- 8 taken those steps, and I'm looking forward to when it's
- 9 completed.
- DR. MOUTRAY: I think it was a quality
- 11 proposal. I know that Joe was involved in evaluating
- it, but I think it was a quality proposal to see --
- hopefully the paper is as good as the proposal.
- MR. CELLI: All right, Chad. Thanks.
- DR. MOUTRAY: So now I'll turn it over to
- Jules and to Joe again so they can talk about the
- 17 chapter.
- MR. SOBOTA: I'm going to start off here.
- 19 Thank you, Chad. And as Bill knows, we do have that
- Reservist project underway, and there are other efforts
- underway, too. Department of Defense has its own
- research underway, and hopefully in the next year or so

- we'll have some new studies. Ours is not at that stage
- 2 yet.
- But we're going to go right now to the
- 4 PowerPoint presentation. And I'm sorry we don't have a
- remote control, so we're going to have to ask that it
- 6 be slide by slide.
- 7 Basically -- and I've already really mentioned
- 8 this to begin with here -- our office is independent.
- 9 And there is a picture of our boss, Chief Counsel for
- Advocacy Tom Sullivan. But we're independent within
- 11 the government. Counsel to the President and the
- 12 Congress. Work with other agencies. And I mentioned
- regulatory advocacy and economic research. Cheryl?
- And I apologize, by the way, for in your
- packet it says Veterans Data Roundtable, December 11th.
- 16 It's correct up here. But our administrative people
- kindly reminded me that the price of cyan cartridges,
- it goes up with the price of gold. And it's been going
- 19 up a lot.
- And so we already had these extras prepared
- for our roundtable a couple weeks ago, and I know that
- everyone here would want us to economize on scarce

- 1 government resources. So we decided we'd just use the
- ones we had in hand. They're identical in every way
- 3 except for the meeting name, which is correct on the
- 4 screen. Next slide, please.
- Past advocacy studies found some very, very
- 6 interesting stuff. And I think maybe the first really
- big piece of news -- and it was news; it has tremendous
- 8 press coverage all over the country. We had graphics
- 9 in the Wall Street Journal -- was the Waldman study.
- 10 All of this stuff is on our website, by the way -- but
- 11 22 percent of veterans -- Cliff Waldman found that
- about 22 percent of veterans were in the process of
- starting a business or thinking about starting a
- business. That's a huge, huge number.
- And not every one of those turned out to be a
- business. But it is a measure of entrepreneurial
- interest. And so this was really a revelation. I
- don't think anybody realized it was that high. And
- that number has been widely quoted ever since. of
- these folks, by the way, plan to have some employees,
- which is excellent.
- Now, I'm not going to read every one of these.

- 1 But you can see that these are just a few high points.
- I could have picked out dozens and dozens of them. But
- internet plays a major role in these firms, a
- 4 significant portion. A quarter of current business
- owners in the Waldman study were 50 or more percent
- 6 internet-dependent.
- 7 And another terribly interesting finding was
- 8 that about a third of these current business owners and
- 9 those planning to be business owners found their
- military skills or skills learned in the military to be
- very important in their business operations. So this
- is another validating kind of piece of information that
- 13 links the military experience and the entrepreneurial
- experience. We are pleased with that. Cheryl?
- Self-employment rates are higher for veterans.
- Male veterans in the larger group, of course, are the
- overwhelmingly biggest group. We have more and more
- 18 female veterans, but when we look at the entire
- 19 population right now, obviously males are more than
- 90 percent. But self-employment rates have been higher
- for 25 years. This is not an aberration one year to
- the next. They're consistently higher for veterans

- 1 than they are for non-veterans.
- But not so for service-disabled veterans. And
- another one of our studies found that the reason that
- 4 service-disabled veterans have lower self-employment
- 5 rates relates to their not being in the employment
- 6 market at all. And that is directly related to their
- 7 disability. So it isn't that they have less of an
- 8 entrepreneurial spirit; when we controlled for all of
- 9 the other variables, it was simply a factor that more
- service-disabled veterans are out of the labor force.
- 11 Computer use is, as you might expect, related
- 12 to higher self-employment. They weren't able to come
- up with a causal connection. They don't know whether
- the computers caused the self-employment or the self-
- employment results in computers.
- Yes, Lou?
- MR. CELLI: I'm sorry. I hope I'm not
- interrupting your flow. I'm curious about bullet point
- No. 2. So are you telling me that the data that you're
- able to collect with regard to putting these studies
- together does not include the service-connected
- veterans because they are not in the employment food

- chain; therefore, they're not looked at?
- MR. SOBOTA: No. No, no. Without getting
- 3 awfully technical about this -- because I'm not the
- 4 expert in this area; I have to rely on Chad -- but
- 5 essentially, in the labor -- when the Bureau of Labor
- 6 Statistics makes measurement of employment, including
- 7 self-employment, they look at who is in the labor
- force. And there's a definition about what that is,
- 9 how many hours. You have to work a minimum number of
- 10 hours per week.
- Now, traditionally, unemployment rates, self-
- employment rates, those kinds of things, are expressed
- in terms of who's in the labor force. Our study, the
- open blue study -- by the way, that was our first use
- of the SDVOB set-aside authority, and Billy Jenkins
- there was our contracting officer, and that was the
- 17 very first SDVOB set-aside for SBA. And that was
- 18 Billy's doing.
- But anyhow, for the purposes of their study,
- they wanted to go back and look at those folks that
- were also not in the labor force, which is something
- most other folks don't do. And we actually built

- 1 parallel tables, and you have to go to the study for
- 2 all this more technical data.
- 3 So when we included all those who weren't in
- 4 the labor force and would not be picked up in the
- 5 standard measurements just because they weren't in the
- labor force, and then controlled for all these other
- variables, we found some very interesting factors about
- 8 service-disabled veterans that don't show up in the
- 9 normal tables because anybody that's out of the labor
- force just doesn't appear there.
- So their objective was to dig deeper into the
- data. And what they found when they controlled for
- other variables was that there were an awful lot of
- service-disabled veterans who were not in the labor
- force, self-employed or employed by others either way.
- 16 And more than half of the reason for that related
- directly to their disability. So this was something
- that is outlooked in the normal statistics. But our
- 19 particular research effort found them.
- There's some fairly sophisticated econometric
- 21 analysis that goes beyond that. You basically have to
- 22 control for a lot of variables, and take stuff away

- until you find the effect you're looking for.
- We should really move through this before we
- answer too many questions or we won't get to the end.
- 4 Charles, did you have a quick one? Okay.
- Next, let's -- oh, one other thing we found
- 6 there was that the number and amount of federal
- 7 contracts in the study period going to veterans and
- 8 service-disabled veterans were understated in the
- 9 official records. And of course, we've all learned a
- 10 lot more since then about problems with coding in the
- 11 federal databases, and there's efforts underway to
- improve that. I think we're doing a better job now in
- 13 the coding.
- I'm not talking about meeting the goals,
- necessarily; we're doing a better job there, too,
- although we're not where we want to go. But I don't
- know how you ever tell if you get up to 3 percent if
- you can't trust the underlying data. I mean, you have
- 19 to have accurate input in order to understand whether
- you're making the goals or not. Next slide.
- These are just a few baselines for the veteran
- 22 population in general. This is not the veterans in

- 1 business population. This is just the veteran
- 2 population. And we used 2002 here. We actually have
- more current numbers for this, but we used 2002 to
- 4 compare it because our business data is from 2002.
- But in 2002, there were 25.6 million. Most
- 6 were men. They were older. About 1 in 10 were
- 7 disabled. That's up; it's about 11 percent now. And
- 8 about 10 percent of the workforce. And they were less
- 9 likely to be unemployed than the rest of the workforce,
- again, with the exception of service-disabled veterans.
- 11 Next slide.
- 12 I already mentioned that this was the first --
- the Census Bureau's new product, the first veteran
- business data we had since '92, they issued two
- separate products that are the basis of our report.
- 16 And incidentally, our report that you have there will
- have all the weblinks to this in there so you can go
- look at the real -- the lengthy, long reports if you
- want to. Next slide, please.
- Here's a tricky part of the census data, and
- one of the reasons it's hard to use. Almost everything
- they refer to in their data is expressed either in

- 1 terms of numbers of respondents, respondent owners, or
- 2 percentages of that pool of respondent owners. And in
- order to be a respondent, you had to answer certain key
- 4 questions.
- Now, one of the reasons for this is that those
- 6 two veterans questions were grafted onto a survey that
- 7 was already proved and in process to collect data on
- 8 minorities and women in business. And so there are
- 9 some statistical questions that arise because the
- sampling frames really weren't designed to collect data
- 11 about veterans.
- But anyhow, if the responds -- and they sent
- out 2.3 million of these survey instruments and got an
- 14 81 percent response because people are required to fill
- this stuff in by law, and they go chase after you, just
- like the other census; if you don't fill it in, they go
- 17 looking for you -- You had to answer the questions on
- gender, ethnicity, and race. And if you didn't answer
- 19 those questions, then you weren't part of the
- respondent pool. So you lose people that way.
- Then, once you get through that hurdle,
- veterans had to answer a question about veterans or

- they were nonrespondent there. About 2 percent of the
- other respondents who answered all those questions,
- 3 about 2 percent didn't answer the veteran status. And
- 4 once you got through those four hurdles, then about
- 5 6 percent didn't answer the status of disability
- 6 question. So at each step, you're losing a few down
- ⁷ the line.
- And we don't know whether the nonrespondents
- 9 have the same characteristics as the respondents. So
- that poses a whole raft of statistical questions. And
- because the census effort did not go back in and sample
- the nonrespondents and try to sort all that out, they
- didn't make projections about the total number of
- businesses, veteran-owned businesses, in the U.S. It's
- certainly theoretically possible to do that, but this
- effort does not do that.
- 17 So when you see a number like 16 million plus,
- between 16 and 17 million veteran-owned businesses,
- 19 that does not mean that those are all the veteran-owned
- 20 businesses in the U.S. What it means is that's all the
- respondents, their estimate of respondent businesses.
- So again, without getting into too much

- 1 technical detail, I can tell you that their total
- estimated number of businesses, respondent businesses,
- in the U.S. was 16 million total, of which -- well,
- 4 I'll back up. About 16.7 or whatever it is million,
- 5 but we can find from other census sources that there
- 6 were -- that they themselves estimate that there is
- 7 more than 23 million businesses in the U.S.
- Anyhow, I divided the one into the other, and
- there's a factor of about 1.4 different. So in other
- words, the numbers in the census report -- and all this
- 11 methodology is explained in our own chapter -- are
- understated by some factor that is attributable to
- nonresponse.
- And so we don't have a census estimate of the
- total number of veteran-owned businesses in the U.S.,
- or service-disabled veteran businesses. The same is
- true for the owners. And this is a statistical
- 18 limitation of this study.
- Now, we had this roundtable on December 11th,
- and we had the census people there that are responsible
- for this particular effort and are working on the 2007
- 22 effort now. And they've told us that they are

- intending to try to deal with this problem in the 2007
- version, and to make estimates for the total numbers,
- and to have more detailed data. The 2007 effort should
- be even better than what we have now.
- 5 So what we have now is more than we've ever
- 6 had before. But it maybe isn't as much as we'll have
- in 2007 or as much as we'd like. But we're very happy
- 8 to have what we do have, and we're thankful to the
- 9 Census Bureau for all the work that they've put into
- the current product.
- Now, I'll just quickly go through a couple of
- these. Moving to the census data instead of the all-
- veteran population, the owners -- we're dealing with
- owners -- again we overwhelmingly male, non-Hispanic,
- 15 and white.
- When we say non-Hispanic, Hispanic is
- ethnicity, essentially, for those of you that don't
- 18 know how OMB classifies the changes a few years ago.
- 19 An Hispanic individual can be of any race. So they
- 20 basically use ethnicity as a description for whether
- you are Hispanic or not Hispanic. And then the racial
- 22 categories are separated out.

- So the veteran business owner is older.
- That's to be expected. But they're quite old. They're
- 3 better educated. They work longer hours. And the
- 4 business is just slightly less likely to be the primary
- 5 source of income. Many people have both businesses and
- they work someplace else. I don't have to tell you
- 7 that. Next slide.
- Now, those were owners. Firms, again, firms
- or owners, they're very similar to all other firms in
- terms of the size, the sales receipts, number of
- employees. There's very little difference. A little
- bit more likely to be home-based, and a little less
- likely to be family-owned.
- Now, at this point, I'm going to turn it over
- to Dr. Jules Lichtenstein. And he actually wrote the
- bulk of this chapter. And the rest of this is pretty
- much charts. And Jules, you developed the charts.
- DR. LICHTENSTEIN: It's a pleasure to be here
- this morning. Joe reviewed the overall results of the
- census data, and what I'm going to do is basically deal
- with the more precise and go through the specifics in
- terms of the owner characteristics and the business

- 1 characteristics. And we have quite a few charts, as
- you'll see, many colors. And hopefully it won't be too
- 3 distracting.
- But I'd just like to again review some of the
- data. Very simply, as you can see, veteran owners
- 6 represented -- there are 3 million of them,
- ⁷ approximately -- represented 15 percent of the total
- number of owners that were surveyed, the 20 million,
- 9 20-1/2 million who were surveyed the census for 2002.
- 10 Again, these were the people -- these are the
- 11 respondents, not the total number of veterans or owners
- in the population. We don't have that. Cheryl?
- The veteran owners represented 27 percent of
- the business owners, a total of 3 million business
- owners. And as you can see here, veteran owners in
- employer firms with employees, I'm sorry, were
- 17 27 percent. Those without employees, 2.1 million did
- 18 not have employees. Next.
- 19 Almost 200,000, or 6.5 percent, of the
- 20 business owners were service-disabled veterans. And
- 21 majority owners were found in about two-thirds of all
- respondent firms, veteran respondent firms and service-

- disabled respondent firms. Equal owners are found in
- about a quarter of the three types of respondent firms.
- 3 So basically, ownership was -- they looked at
- 4 the -- the majority were owners who represented a
- 5 majority of ownership in these firms. This could be
- one veteran or multiple veterans. Next.
- Again, there were 2 million firms with one or
- 8 more veteran owners. And those firms represented about
- 9 12 percent of the total 16.7 million firms that were
- 10 respondent firms in the survey. Next.
- Now let's go back to business owners and take
- 12 a more close -- a more detailed look at some of the
- demographic and employment characteristics of these
- owners. And in terms of the former business or firm,
- hours worked, owner's primary function in the business,
- and whether the business was the owner's primary source
- of income.
- And as you can see, as Joe mentioned, almost
- 19 all veteran owners were non-Hispanic white men, over 95
- percent in each category. Cheryl? Next.
- 21 And obviously, if you look at this, especially
- the first group of bars, you see the male

- characteristic. You can see that there's a major
- difference in gender ownership between veteran- and
- non-veteran-owned businesses. Over 35 percent of all
- owner respondents were women. But if you just look at
- veteran-owned businesses, over 95 percent are men.
- Only about 3.2 percent of all veteran owners
- 7 were black, 2.3 percent are Hispanic, and 1 percent or
- 8 less are American Indian, Alaska Native, Asian, Native
- 9 Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander. We don't have a chart
- on this, but they're small numbers, and they're in the
- specifics in our report in chapter 5. Next.
- 12 Joe also mentioned veteran and service-
- disabled veterans are older than all business owners.
- Over two-thirds of veteran business owners are over 55,
- compared to a third of all business owners. So there's
- a significant difference in terms of age. Obviously,
- veterans are older in general than the overall business
- ownership population.
- Over 57 percent of service-disabled veterans,
- 20 as you can see in the last set of bars here, are 55 and
- older. The largest segment of veterans is the Vietnam-
- era group. The oldest in this group are now reaching

- 1 retirement age, as we know, and this may have a
- 2 significant effect on their entrepreneurial activity.
- 3 And we'll need to look at the 2007 SVO to really get a
- 4 handle on what's happening to this veteran cohort,
- 5 which is particularly important. Next.
- This slide goes into some detail in terms of
- 7 education. As Joe also mentioned, veteran business
- 8 owners tend to be better educated. Overall, they are
- 9 more likely to have post-graduate degrees, as you can
- see on the set of bars, masters, PhD, or professional
- degrees.
- 12 The service-disabled veteran owners are more
- likely to have at least some college education that may
- or may not have resulted in a degree. On the other
- hand, if you look at high school graduation, they're
- obviously less likely to have graduated from high
- school, if you look at the second set of bars there.
- 18 And they're about equal in terms of technical or trade
- 19 education. Next.
- What about how hard veterans versus non-
- veterans are working? Service-disabled veteran owners
- tend to be slightly more likely to work longer hours

- than either veteran owners or all owners of respondent
- businesses. Almost 54 percent of service-disabled
- yeterans worked an average of 41 hours or more per
- 4 week. Only 51 percent of the other two groups work
- 5 these long hours. Next, Cheryl.
- This slide shows you the owner's primary
- function in the business. And basically, the service-
- 8 disabled veterans are most likely to report the owner's
- 9 primary function as producing the business's goods and
- services and managing day-to-day operations. Over
- 55 percent said that they did both these functions.
- 12 Next.
- Owner's primary source of income. The
- veterans are less likely than all owners, 51 percent of
- respondent firms, to report that the business was the
- owner's primary source of personal income. Service-
- disabled veterans are actually least likely to report
- the business as a primary source of income. And you
- 19 can see this from this chart. I'm not sure exactly
- what the other sources would be in this case, but we
- don't have information on that.
- 22 As expected, businesses with employees are

- 1 more likely to report that the business was the owner's
- 2 primary source of income than businesses without
- 3 employees.
- Now, turning from veteran business owners to
- 5 the firms themselves in the next slide, you can see
- that businesses owned by volunteers are nearly
- 7 identical to all businesses -- let's see here. Could
- you go back one? In terms of receipts and employment
- 9 size of -- I guess I don't have this -- we don't have a
- slide of this. But you'd have to look at your report
- to get receipt size and other information on employment
- 12 size of firm.
- But basically, there's very little difference
- in terms of receipt size and employment size. There
- are charts on page 137 of the Small Business Economy
- which show you the similarity in receipt size and
- employment size of veteran- and non-veteran-owned
- businesses. It's very similar.
- 19 Let's move to the next slide, which talks
- about how old the businesses are. And if you --
- veteran-owned businesses are older than all firms.
- 22 Almost 55 percent of veteran-owned firms with employees

- were acquired before 1990, and compared with only
- 2 38 percent of all respondent firms with workers.
- 3 Compared with all firms, smaller percentages
- of veteran-owned firms were acquired after 1999. And
- 5 therefore, there's obviously a strong link between the
- 6 older age of veteran business owners and the age of
- 7 their firms.
- 8 This obviously brings up an interesting
- 9 question in terms of how well they do depending on the
- age of when the owner starts the business. Okay? If
- 11 you become self-employed before age 50, for example,
- 12 you might do better than if you decide to start your
- business after age 50.
- 14 So there's other research which has found that
- the longer you're in business, obviously, the more
- successful you're probably going to be. So there's
- 17 certainly an issue in terms of age of when a veteran
- would start a business.
- Now, in the next slide, we look at some
- interesting attributes in terms of home-based
- businesses, family-owned, and franchises. And you can
- 22 see from this chart it's obvious that franchises are a

- 1 relatively small proportion of veteran-owned
- 2 businesses.
- In terms of home-based businesses, slightly
- 4 more than half of all veteran-owned businesses reported
- operating out of the owner's home, compared to slightly
- 6 less than half of all respondent businesses. So
- 7 they're more likely to be home-based, slightly more
- 8 likely to be home-based, than all respondent
- 9 businesses.
- And as expected, businesses with employees are
- less likely to be home-based than businesses without
- employees because unless you've got a gigantic home,
- you're not going to be able to fit your employees in
- 14 there.
- The largest proportions of home-based
- businesses -- and this is not a chart here; it's in
- your report -- were in construction, almost three-
- quarters working from the owner's home; administrative
- 19 support, almost two-thirds working from the owner's
- home. So the type of business also is important in
- terms of whether you're home-based or not.
- Now, we look at family-owned businesses.

- 1 Almost 16 percent of veteran-owned businesses were
- family-owned. However, they were less likely to be
- 3 family-owned than all respondent businesses. And as
- 4 you can see, there were 23 percent family ownership
- 5 among all respondent firms in the first set of bars
- 6 there.
- As expected, veteran-owned businesses with
- 8 employees were slightly more likely to be family-owned
- 9 than their counterparts without employees, 17 versus
- 10 15 percent. And veteran-owned businesses appear to be
- more heavily weighted towards sole ownership than
- 12 respondent businesses, which is interesting. Seventy-
- 13 five percent reported they had only one owner, compared
- to 64 percent of all respondent firms. So veterans are
- going it alone more likely than all respondent firms.
- Now, if we turn to franchises, as I mentioned,
- franchises is a relatively small proportion of all
- businesses, only about 2 percent in all cases. And
- 19 firms with employees are more likely to be franchised
- than firms without employees, obviously. And the
- highest proportion of franchised veteran businesses are
- in management, accommodations, and food services. And

- we don't have any information on how many McDonald's
- they own or anything specific. But clearly, franchises
- 3 are important, but relatively less important than other
- 4 factors here.
- 5 Another key issue that the census looked at
- 6 was the sources of capital needed to start, acquire,
- and maintain a business. Next slide. And clearly,
- 8 access to capital is important for entrepreneurship.
- 9 How easy you can get it is critical. And that's one
- thing SBA tries to help these businesses with.
- 11 And almost two-thirds of veteran firms with
- owners relied on personal or family assets to start or
- acquire their firms. And this was nearly the same as
- all respondent firms, almost 64 percent.
- MR. GROSS: Now, were you surprised at the
- 16 government guaranteed bank loan percentages?
- DR. LICHTENSTEIN: How low they are?
- MR. GROSS: Yes.
- DR. LICHTENSTEIN: No, because government
- tends to only be able to affect a relatively small
- 21 proportion of total firms.
- The veteran firms were somewhat less likely

- than all respondent firms to use a personal or credit
- 2 card as a source of capital, 7 versus 9 percent. And
- 3 percentages of veteran-owned and all firms originally
- financed by banks were nearly identical, about 11-1/2
- 5 percent in both cases, for veteran and all respondent
- 6 firms. And also we mentioned the government direct and
- 7 guaranteed bank loans were also relatively small in all
- 8 cases.
- 9 Outside investors provided only 2 percent of
- veteran firms with capital, compared to 3 percent of
- 11 respondent firms. So again, this is a relatively small
- 12 proportion in either case.
- Many firms, as you can see from the last group
- of bars here, both veteran and all respondents reported
- they did not need capital to start or acquire their
- business, about 28 percent. That's a very high
- 17 percentage. However, firms with no employees were
- three times less likely to need capital than firms with
- employees. Obviously, if you're bigger, you're going
- to need the capital.
- 21 If we move to the next slide, looking at the
- 22 type of customers that veteran and all firms have,

- 1 veteran firms are more likely to have other businesses
- as customers than all respondent firms, 36 versus
- 3 32 percent, and less likely to have individuals as
- 4 customers, 46 versus 49 percent.
- 5 Government represented over 8 percent of
- 6 customers for both the veteran-owned and total
- 7 respondent firms. So it's about equal in terms of
- 8 dealing with government. And export sales, as you
- 9 would expect, represented only a small slice of
- customers for veteran and total firms, about 2 percent.
- 11 Small firms tend to be subcontractors to larger export
- 12 activities.
- 13 Yes?
- MR. ELMORE: When I first went through this
- data last summer, one of the parts that jumped out at
- me was the fed government and the 10 percent or more
- that are market because that's 2.6 percent compared to
- 2 percent of non-veteran firms, which I wasn't just
- sure if that was significant, if that's statistically
- important. And perhaps the next question would be: Do
- we know if we're going to have this data out of the
- 22 2007?

- DR. LICHTENSTEIN: Well, I believe we are
- going to have this data and more in 2007.
- MR. SOBOTA: Well, Bill, I won't try to say
- 4 technically whether it's statistically significant. I
- 5 think the answer is yes because the Census Bureau
- 6 wouldn't have published it in their larger charts from
- 7 which this is drawn were it not significant. It
- 8 wouldn't appear there. It would have been just a
- 9 little line.
- DR. MOUTRAY: I think his question is the
- difference significant. And it probably is because
- you're talking about millions of people being surveyed
- here. And so you'd have many degrees of freedom here.
- So that's publicly statistically significant, whereas
- if you were dealing with just a couple hundred
- observations, that probably wouldn't be the case.
- 17 MR. ELMORE: Of course, it's '02 data. And
- that's why I was thinking that if we get this data in
- 19 '07, we may be able to measure some growth perhaps in
- the percent of veteran small businesses who consider
- the federal government as part as their marketplace.
- DR. MOUTRAY: Well, they are currently

- doing -- sorry to interrupt there -- but they are
- 2 currently doing the 2007 economic census right now,
- 3 actually. So if you know a business that's doing a
- 4 survey, please have them fill it out.
- But they are currently doing it, and I believe
- 6 that there are veterans questions in there again. So
- 7 they'll be able to do this same report in 2010.
- DR. LICHTENSTEIN: Okay. Going through the
- 9 next slide, we talk about the types of workers employed
- in these firms, veteran and non-veteran firms.
- 11 Basically, there's very little difference in -- sorry
- 12 here. They differ only slightly across the types of
- 13 firms -- paid employees, temporary staffing, leased
- employees, contractors, or subcontractors.
- 15 If we go to the next slide, we can see the
- types of employees in the different firms in terms of
- whether we have employer firms and non-employer firms.
- 18 And this is -- as you can see here, there's very little
- difference in hiring patterns overall. Almost
- 83 percent of veteran-owned and all respondent firms
- with employees reported using their own full and part-
- time paid workers to operate their businesses. Over

- one-third of veterans and total respondent firms with
- employees used contractors, subcontractors, outside
- 3 consultants in their business, and almost 20 percent of
- 4 veteran and total rpd firms without employees used
- 5 contractors or consultants.
- And also, it's interesting to look at the
- ⁷ leased employee issue, which is the little blue line
- 8 here, the little blue bar. We know that one way to
- 9 increase the availability of employee benefits, for
- example, in small businesses is to lease employees.
- And leased employees, the whole concept and
- 12 the companies that lease them have been around for a
- while. But we don't see much activity with leased
- employees here if we look at either veteran-owned or
- total respondent businesses. So that's a very
- interesting issue, certainly given the debate, the
- health debate, and the issue with pensions and so
- 18 forth.
- And if we go to the next slide, we turn to
- industry that veteran-owned and respondent firms
- reported, veteran-owned firms were generally
- distributed equally, similarly to all respond firms,

- when we look at two-digit NAICS code, which is the
- 2 Native American Industrial Code, which came about in
- the 1980s, I believe. Maybe it was 1990. I'm not sure
- 4 exactly when it started. But it has shown a -- there's
- a disconnect between how you measure industry before
- that year and how you measure industry after that year.
- 7 There were some slight differences in
- 8 construction, 14 percent versus 12 percent in
- 9 professional/technical services, with veteran-owned
- businesses having higher percentages in these
- 11 categories than all respondent firms.
- And if we look at the -- again, if we look at
- kind of business for employer and non-employer firms,
- the trends are true for both -- we see similar trends
- for whether a firm has employees or it doesn't have
- employees. There are some slight differences.
- Now, what can we conclude from all of this?
- 18 From the data on the next slide, it sort of summarizes
- our conclusions. As Joe mentioned, the new census SVO
- 20 data is the most detailed veteran data we've ever
- collected, we've ever had. This is very significant.
- The presentation summarizes the two reports from the

- 1 Census Bureau. And you can get those online if you
- look at the website which is included in the
- information you have. If you check the website, as we
- said, preparations are underway, and I guess they're
- 5 surveying -- I don't know if they're surveying at this
- 6 minute.
- 7 DR. MOUTRAY: They've already been mailed.
- B DR. LICHTENSTEIN: They're already mailed.
- 9 Okay. When that becomes available, and we hope it's
- sooner than the 2002 became available, we hope to
- compare the trends between 2002 and 2007.
- 12 Yes, Bill?
- MR. ELMORE: A question. Given the level of
- membership amongst the American Legion, for example --
- and this is a conjecture question -- if there was a
- request through the media outlets into those major
- veteran service organizations about if you get this
- survey, please fill it out, I just wonder if you think
- that might have some potential effect on getting more
- data.
- DR. MOUTRAY: The answer is yes. And I know
- that they have been filled out because people complain

- about filling out the survey. There's a huge amount of
- paperwork burden.
- MR. ELMORE: Sure.
- DR. MOUTRAY: And so we occasionally look at
- 5 complaints. We haven't gotten as many this time as
- 6 past years. I know the NFIB has received some
- 7 complaints. But we always encourage people to fill it
- 8 out. This is very important. It's only done one every
- ⁹ five years.
- And in the grand scheme of things, think of
- 11 how much information we could get from this, not just
- on veterans but also women and minorities and home-
- based businesses, franchises. There's a wealth of
- information here. And definitely you should encourage
- people to fill it out if they get it.
- MR. CELLI: And actually, that was my
- question, Chad. How long does it take to fill one of
- these studies out? I know the government does all
- 19 kinds of studies, like with the IRS it takes you 9.3
- years to do your tax return.
- MR. SOBOTA: Well, cumulatively it adds up
- because they sent out 2.3 million of them last time

- 1 around. That's a lot. But the actual survey --
- MR. CELLI: I want to know per individual.
- MR. SOBOTA: Yes. The actual survey
- 4 instrument is only about four pages long, and there are
- 5 probably -- it depends on how many owners you have
- 6 because they will be collecting data on up to four
- owners per firm. So if you had four owners, it would
- 8 take a little longer than fewer.
- 9 But there's only about 15 or so questions per
- owner, and then some general questions that everybody
- would answer. I don't suppose the whole thing would
- take the owner more than 20 minutes, a half hour,
- something like that. I don't know what the official
- 14 tally is. They basically -- they had to publish that
- in the Federal Register and make a good faith estimate
- on how much time it is.
- But the old surveys, 1992 it was a huge thing.
- 18 But they have collapsed the whole thing down into four
- pages, at least 2002. I haven't seen the 2007
- 20 instrument.
- MR. CELLI: Bill.
- MR. DOZIER: How do you determine who gets

- 1 these mailings?
- MR. SOBOTA: Well, the Census Bureau does
- 3 that. And they design a scientific sample. Their
- 4 sampling frames are constructed to reflect the
- 5 population at large. But that's one of the problems we
- 6 had with the significance of the veterans data in the
- 7 2002 effort because basically the sampling frames were
- 8 designed to survey minority- and women-owned
- 9 businesses.
- And although it's a very large sample, and
- obviously we have veterans across both those
- 12 populations, it still wasn't specifically designed to
- 13 reflect the veteran population in each state and all
- the other demographic variables that they would use.
- So there are statistical techniques to correct
- for that. Not all of them were done in the 2002
- survey. But the Census Bureau does that. They're very
- 18 good at constructing scientific samples. And unless
- the sample is conducted or is constructed to reflect
- the larger population, then various kinds of errors can
- 21 creep into the estimates that they make.
- MR. ADAMS: Excuse me. For the record,

- because we're taping on the record, the questions are
- being asked by Mr. Bill Dozier. Please identify
- yourself as you talk.
- DR. MOUTRAY: Lou and Bill, we have Lee
- 5 Wentela is actually the guy who's in charge at Census.
- 6 He came to the roundtable. We can certainly put you in
- 7 contact with him in terms of any support you might be
- able to give in terms of people filling out the survey,
- 9 or certainly specific questions regarding paperwork
- burden and that kind of stuff. Because he's the guy
- 11 who would know. We haven't even read the Federal
- 12 Register to know that.
- MR. SOBOTA: Yes. We've had a similar kind of
- question in the past about how do we get my guys to get
- in on this thing. And the answer is, you don't,
- because they basically select you. The basic source
- material are tax returns.
- They basically look at tax returns, and they
- work out the samples from three kinds of tax returns.
- With anybody that's had income reported on their
- 21 Schedule C on a 1040, that's a group. 1065s and 1120s
- are a different group, partnerships and corporations.

- So they look at those tax returns and say,
- okay. Here's folks that have business income, and they
- work out how many they want from California and how
- 4 many they want from North Dakota, that kind of thing.
- 5 MR. DOZIER: So it's random?
- 6 MR. SOBOTA: Yes. I don't know exactly the
- 7 parameters that they put together. But they know what
- 8 they're doing. They're very good at that.
- 9 MR. DeMOLET: Dennis DeMolet. Joe, thanks for
- coming today, all of you, by the way.
- I've got a couple questions. Were
- demographics measured at all?
- MR. SOBOTA: Oh, yes. Yes.
- MR. DeMOLET: So you have a greater
- concentration of where you could show where the
- 16 service-disabled veteran businesses were located?
- 17 Southwest, Northeast, or --
- MR. SOBOTA: Well, I'll let Chad answer that.
- 19 But first of all, the Census Bureau, yeah. The
- 20 underlying -- if you look at our report, at the very
- 21 end of it there's information about special
- 22 tabulations. The Census Bureau obviously knows where

- all these came from, and there's a wealth of data that
- is not reflected in their published documents. I mean,
- there's any number of combinations of questions that
- one could put together a special tabulation on, not
- 5 just with the census survey instruments but with the
- 6 associated administrative data.
- And so, yes, people do send them requests for
- 8 special tabulations. They have to pay for that. They
- 9 did not publish state data. They didn't publish a lot
- of things that would be potentially there. Now, we're
- working with some other data on our own. We've asked
- them for additional data.
- When you drill down deeper and deeper and
- deeper, then it becomes statistically more and more
- difficult. For instance, I could make an estimate of
- how many veteran-owned businesses there are in the U.S.
- and be pretty confident that I got it right. But if
- you asked me how many service-disabled-owned veteran
- businesses there were in Delaware, I wouldn't be able
- to do that because of the size of the cell and other
- 21 kinds of statistical problems.
- But yes, the census has got lots and lots of

- 1 data.
- MR. DeMOLET: The other question is: On the
- form that's sent out, is there a definition of what a
- 4 veteran is on there?
- MR. SOBOTA: I think, if I looked at the
- 6 survey instrument correctly, they did have something
- 7 there. I think they might have just cited the Title 38
- 8 definition.
- 9 MR. DeMOLET: Title 38? Yes.
- MR. SOBOTA: I really would have to check back
- on that, Dennis. I can get back to you. But they do
- make an effort to have a consistent response to that,
- and I think they just used the Title 38 definition.
- MR. DeMOLET: Because I know I bump into it.
- 15 I know Bill has. I've got people that are in the
- Guard -- I know you've had, Lou -- that will say, I'm
- in the Guard. I'm not a veteran, you know. They have
- 18 a different -- like and women, you know, that were in
- uniform and served many years and said, well, I thought
- that was for men only. I mean, I've had all different
- 21 characteristics. So I wondered --
- MR. SOBOTA: Dennis, I'll send you a copy of

- 1 the actual survey instrument. I'm glad you asked that
- question because I did know that once, but in my
- 3 advancing age, I've forgotten. I don't have the total
- 4 survey instrument memorized any more. But I think it's
- 5 the Title 38. We'll send you a copy.
- DR. MOUTRAY: It not only has it in the
- instructions, but it's actually self-reported.
- 8 MR. CELLI: Thank you. One last question from
- 9 Frank.
- MR. MANCINI: Frank Mancini. It's
- overwhelming the difference between the respondent data
- on the veterans and what's actually in databases in the
- 13 CCR. And I was wondering why such a discrepancy.
- We're talking about tens of thousands in the CCR versus
- 15 200,000. Now, I have an idea. In the CCR, you are
- required to have a dense number. But I'm just aware in
- the census data you're not required to identify
- yourself or to even prove that you're indeed an SDVOB.
- So could that be a possibility of a very high
- error, margin of error, for SDVOBs?
- MR. SOBOTA: Well, here's the basic thing
- here. I think we're comparing apples and oranges. And

- when you talk about the CCR, the CCR is a procurement
- database. Nobody's going to register in the CCR unless
- 3 they have a reason to do business with the government.
- 4 And frankly, most firms, veteran or otherwise, don't do
- 5 business with the government.
- The Census Bureau is interested in looking at
- 7 the whole economy. They don't really focus on firms
- 8 that are doing business with the government, although
- 9 they did have that question about the percentage of
- receipts, over 10 percent receipts, that went to
- 11 federal business. But I wouldn't expect the CCR to
- 12 show the same --
- MR. MANCINI: That leads to another question
- as well. Could that be a symptom, where so many SVDOBs
- in this 200,000 that they are saying, well, doing
- business with the government is so overwhelming. It's
- a loss of time, a loss of money. It's impossible doing
- it. It's very difficult. And therefore, we're not
- going to do it. Therefore, it's a lose situation even
- begin doing business with the government.
- MR. SOBOTA: I don't think you can draw that
- 22 kind of conclusion from this raw data. I mean, a lot

- of businesses, whether they're SDVOBs or not, would say
- exactly what you said. They say, basically, I don't
- want to do business with the government business
- 4 because it's too complicated and too different.
- 5 This study did not try to answer that
- 6 question, and it didn't focus in on that. Now, we do
- 7 look -- we can compare the percentage of all veteran-
- 8 owned firms that do business with the government
- 9 against the percentage of all firms that do business
- with the government. And as Bill points out, the
- veterans are actually about a third again higher than
- all folks.
- MR. ELMORE: And this is '02 data, which
- was -- the whole federal procurement thing was really
- just in its early stages.
- MR. SOBOTA: Yes. My guess is -- and I don't
- have the solid data to back this up other than that 2.6
- percent number that we just saw up there, which I think
- is a very important number -- but my guess is that
- veterans are over-represented in the dollar value of
- business to the government.
- Now, I don't mean that -- I've got to be

- 1 careful here. I don't mean to say we're meeting the
- goal, the 3 percent goal. I don't mean that. No,
- 3 we're not. We know that. But what I mean is that the
- 4 percentage of dollars going to veteran-owned businesses
- is probably higher than the proportion of businesses
- that they represent in the U.S. economy. At least this
- 7 number that we just saw on the chart would tell us
- 8 that.
- I don't know that we want to compare CCR data
- with this, though. CCR is a very specific database for
- a very specific purpose. It certainly isn't
- 12 representative of the larger business community.
- MR. CELLI: All right. Joe, Chad, Jules, on
- behalf of the committee, I'd like to thank you for
- sharing your data with us today. It's been very
- enlightening. It's going to assist us greatly when it
- 17 comes time for us to make our recommendations at the
- end of the year, as we do every year.
- We look forward to a healthy working
- 20 relationship with your office. And if you'd be so kind
- as to get a copy of the survey that you were going to
- send to Dennis out to all of us, or at least enough to

- 1 the committee that I could distribute it, that would be
- 2 great.
- MR. SOBOTA: Yes. We'll take care of it.
- 4 MR. CELLI: That would be great. Thank you so
- 5 much. All right. Thank you.
- 6 (Applause.)
- 7 MR. CELLI: All right. Let's take about a
- 8 two-minute break. And as we've done earlier in the
- 9 agenda, we're going to go ahead -- which has worked out
- very well for us -- we're going to go ahead and move
- 11 the presentation by the Veterans Corporation up to be
- 12 before lunch, and then we'll take our lunch break
- 13 afterward.
- 14 (A brief recess was taken.)
- MR. CELLI: We're back on the record. As I
- mentioned before the break, we're going to accelerate
- our agenda and move into the briefing by the Veterans
- 18 Corporation.
- 19 All right, gentlemen. Thank you for coming.
- I'd like to preface by stating that during our last
- committee meeting, we discussed trying to forge a more
- stable and a more comprehensive relationship between

- 1 the committee's activities and the Veterans
- 2 Corporation. And in that light and in that vein, we'd
- 3 like to make sure that we have ample opportunity for
- 4 you to give briefings during every one of our committee
- 5 meetings.
- 6 We just recently changed our second date, and
- 7 the dates -- which I'll make sure that we get them to
- you in an e-mail as well -- are March 18th, June 17th,
- and September 16th for our upcoming meetings for the
- 10 2008 fiscal year. And those are pending room
- assignment, making sure that we have the proper rooms
- 12 here.
- So if that changes, we'll let you know. In
- the absence of that changing, those are going to be our
- dates. So just know and understand that you have a
- standing invitation to be here any time you need. And
- thank you.
- MR. BLACKWELL: Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 19 First let me say thanks for putting Felix Vargas as a
- liaison between our committees. As you may know, Felix
- 21 serves on the Private Sector Leadership Council for
- TVC, whose primary duty is to really look at how we can

- 1 raise private funding outside the federal sector
- 2 funding.
- 3 That's particularly significant since we
- 4 literally, about eight days ago, got funding for
- 5 FY '08. As you know, we've been in three CRs prior to
- 6 this. And that funding came back at a disappointing
- 7 million dollars less than we had hoped for out of the
- 8 House.
- The House put us in for 2.5 million. The
- 10 Senate put us in for nothing. And the bottom line is,
- instead of 2.5 million, it's 1.4, 1.41, to be specific.
- 12 This has caused obviously a lot of issues on how we do
- what, with what, for when, and how. And the board has
- been very, very active all through the holidays with me
- and staff to completely rework our budgets to
- 16 completely review all of the activities that we're
- involved in, and specifically, the strategic plan.
- I asked Felix just to catch up and pick up the
- draft plan that I gave him in November because that's
- still an internal document based on \$2.5 million. And
- with 1.4, that plan is currently with the board now,
- 22 along with those budgets. And I really need to get

- 1 their input.
- Let me give you specific things I think you're
- going to care agent. And really, to be very candid
- with you, I'm only going to cover the 50,000-foot level
- because the board makes the final decisions.
- We have been, as you know, in 2006, October/
- 7 November time frame, moved from direct funding to a
- 8 grant-based program at TVC. That grant-based program
- gave our three hubs a year of what are called
- 10 noncompetitive grants to help them find and continue
- their work, but look at outside funding to cover
- operational expenses that they might incur.
- We also put out a solicitation for people
- interested in grants from TVC, specifically who are in
- the business of veteran advocacy and entrepreneurship.
- 16 I can give you some general data that those grant
- solicitations, along with an invitation to the three
- 18 hubs by our chairman of our board -- which happened in
- 19 the November time frame; Felix was in attendance -- the
- three hubs made presentations for the grants that they
- would like to get for the FY 2008 period. And at that
- point, I think, Felix, we had 16 applicants in the

- 1 November time frame.
- As of January 16th, we have a total of 30
- 9 potential grants. We have closed the grant cycle.
- 4 Obviously, with a million dollars less, there's no
- 5 point in having other people's expectations raised. So
- 6 we've closed the grant cycles. Those 30 grants, of
- 7 which the three hubs are a part of, represent
- 8 \$1,924,000 worth of requests.
- 9 So have we demonstrated there's a need out
- there in veteran advocacy across the entrepreneurship
- cycle? Absolutely. And the facts are that in 106-50,
- 12 where it says -- Section 47 -- to build a network of
- centers, that would give us, had we gotten the \$2.5
- million, an opportunity to be in 19 states. Because we
- would have clearly been able to fund a majority of
- those states as they're outlined.
- One of the great things that I think happened
- out of the November meeting is that we established a
- section of the board for a grant review committee.
- That committee met last Tuesday night. We reviewed the
- 21 30 grants that are there. They are now working with
- those 30 grants to see, given our monies, how do we

- 1 allocate those dollars accordingly and equitably across
- 2 the network.
- 3 So that will happen by the end of next week.
- 4 As you may know, with the appropriations came the
- 5 request from both House and Senate appropriators to
- 6 provide a new budget on how that \$1,410,000 are going
- 7 to be spent. We'll furnish that data some time on or
- 8 about the 24th of this month. That data represents
- 9 what we'll be able to do given our current amount of
- money through FY '08.
- Now, you may be asking, so what? Well, the so
- what is that's the federal so what. We're very
- actively involved in private fundraising. There are a
- series of events, to be very specific, that are
- currently planned now that are aimed at Balboa out in
- 16 California, Walter Reed here in Washington, we're
- holding an event in Palm Beach, and the fourth one will
- be at the Intrepid Center in San Antonio.
- These are basically fundraising events that
- will -- you give money, but you don't show up. You
- 21 basically give your ticket to someone who's on active
- duty or in the recovery process at those facilities.

- 1 And it's a nice evening. We have the potential of
- getting some stars to attend this thing. So it's a
- nice kind of gala for them, and it's a good way for
- 4 folks who don't want to go do the rubber chicken dinner
- 5 cycle to go sponsor someone into those events. So
- 6 you'll hear some about that.
- Our hope is that there is a million. There is
- 8 2 million. There is 5 million. There is 10 million.
- 9 I can tell you from the last almost three years, I
- haven't found it. But we're working really hard.
- We've established two new sections.
- 12 I mentioned the Private Sector Leadership
- 13 Council that Felix is a part of. Don Gonaval, who I
- think many of you know, is heading that up for me. And
- then Chuck Ashman -- I'm not sure all of you know him,
- but Chuck was the head of Fox for many years, and heads
- up the business matchmaking materials now that are held
- 18 face to face with a number of folks, And he heads up
- something called the Committee of 100.
- So our goal is in the short-term. And the
- short-term is literally the next 90 to 120 days because
- 22 as things look like they're going, we could clearly run

- out of money before the needs are met.
- 2 So that's a snapshot of where we are. You
- will get a copy of the final strategic plan. I had
- 4 hoped, frankly, to have your strategic plan, but I
- don't have that. So I can't tell you where the
- 6 intersects are. I can tell you where I think our
- 7 strengths are.
- And I'm going to ask Felix to work with me and
- 9 then whoever on your council who can do a strategic
- 10 plan for what you're physically planning to be able to
- do in FY '08. We'll merge where the intersects make
- sense.
- Now, clearly we have to leverage everything we
- 14 can because the monies from the federal side are not
- 15 growing. They're shrinking. And unless there's a way
- that somebody sparkles twinkle dust on all of us, it's
- qoing to depend very, very, very much on private sector
- 18 funding.
- 19 Yes, sir?
- MR. DeMOLET: Yes, Mr. Blackwell. Thank you
- 21 for coming. Dennis DeMolet here.
- Do you have an optimistic viewpoint with the

- private funding for TVC?
- MR. BLACKWELL: The answer is simply yes. I
- 3 always have optimistic viewpoints. The real question
- 4 has been, what's in it for me as a company? And let me
- 5 be very clear because we've looked at the private
- 6 commercial product-centric companies first because they
- 7 are the programs -- they have programs in place.
- 8 They have minority supplier diversity
- 9 programs. They have other action programs. They have
- 10 community-based programs. And you can look for the
- pools or pods where veterans are in the top ten,
- 12 fifteen states and say you can put your associates in
- some kind of an action program and then make that a
- pool of money for that particular region or district.
- The retail package goods companies have not
- been very good about coming forward. And let me tell
- you what's not in it for them. What's not in it for
- them is we can't give them a database that they can
- market to. They're looking for names, addresses,
- telephone numbers, and e-mails. And I'll give you two
- examples. The Gillette Company basically said, I have
- 22 all the guys already. They're using my razors every

- 1 day. Okay?
- Now, are we getting warmer receptions at other
- 3 commercial sides now? Mostly in consumables, which is
- 4 kind of an interesting thing. So if you think about
- 5 the beverage market, we're starting to get a lot of
- 6 interest there.
- We had approached Home Depot very aggressively
- and had a No. 3 top slot visit. John and I went there,
- 9 what, two years, John. And we were really referred
- into their home installer market because they wanted to
- 11 keep their associates in their particular stores very,
- very involved, and didn't want to do a major grant.
- 13 And Nardelli, I think, had just given a million-dollar
- grant to -- was it VFW? Yes, VFW, about three weeks
- before we showed up. So it's a very difficult thing in
- 16 retail package.
- 17 Where we're really concentrating our efforts
- now are in high net worth individuals who have been
- 19 successful veteran entrepreneurs and/or in corporate
- 20 environments, who may or may not have their own private
- foundations. We were very successful on -- it's either
- going to be March 15th or the 22nd, right at the end of

- 1 Palm Beach season -- we are going to hold an event in
- 2 Palm Beach. And all of those people will be high net
- 3 worth I'd folks.
- And so do I have hopes? Yes, I have hopes.
- Now, will that be \$5 or \$5 million? I don't know.
- 6 What I'm really looking at now is how models who use
- basically a subscription advertising package -- and
- 8 there are a lot of those around there; if you think
- 9 about the social networking kind of sites, where
- they're looking for a direct tie to that social
- networking side to go to their product launch on their
- 12 side. We're looking at that.
- It clearly has to be better funded. It's
- very, very, very, very, very, very, very, very hard to
- do this one \$1,400,000.
- MR. DeMOLET: And have legacy donators to TVC
- been reviewed and looked at as well?
- MR. BLACKWELL: Yes. And, you know, the sad
- 19 part about that -- and Bill knows better than I because
- he was here from the beginning -- but frankly, that was
- all smoke, mirrors, guns, and there was nothing there.
- 22 It was an empty shell.

- MR. DeMOLET: Thank you.
- MR. BLACKWELL: It went off. Just nothing
- 3 there.
- 4 MR. DeMOLET: Thank you.
- 5 MR. BLACKWELL: Yes, Paul?
- 6 MR. ADAMS: Would it be possible -- you
- 7 mentioned the number of grants that came in and a
- 8 shortage of funding. Would it be possible to give to
- 9 the committee a list of what people were asking for and
- where your funding came up short? Because as you know,
- we do an annual report and we point these things out.
- 12 And we may be able to include that sort of information
- in the report.
- 14 MR. BLACKWELL: Sure. Yes. That's not a
- problem. In fact, we've already categorized it by the
- area of interest, what specific section they support,
- how they support it, when they give the detail out, how
- they actually distribute what they do.
- 19 All of them have been vetted through the 990
- process. And so they're all available.
- MR. ADAMS: Another follow-up question, if I
- could, on that. The strategic planning and the timing,

- one question is when do you think you work have that?
- 2 But another question that goes along with that is if
- you've got the 1.4 million and you're looking for
- 4 private funding, how are you going to plan for that
- 5 contingency, I guess, in identifying the priorities?
- Because, obviously, if you get \$5, you can do
- 7 \$5. But if you were to raise \$5 million, are you
- 8 looking at developing a strategic plan with the
- 9 appropriate contingencies on how that would happen so
- there's not a lag time between the donation and going
- out to the community?
- 12 MR. BLACKWELL: Great question, and let me
- give you the good answer. We had originally approached
- 14 Congress for \$3.7 million. So I think to say \$5
- million would be a little enthusiastic. But I have a
- plan for 3.7 that's easily adapted.
- The way that the granting process has been
- done is in tiers. So the people we can fund can go in
- 19 that first tier, and then as monies come in, we can
- subsequently take the people that have been vetted and
- then put them into the granting process.
- So it's not really a question of do you change

- the strategic plan. The strategic plan is really three
- categories, you know. And that granting piece is a
- 3 huge piece which has a mechanism, a process, that can
- 4 easily be expanded or contracted on.
- 5 So it's a pretty simple strategy that allows
- 6 you, if you have \$5 or \$500 million, to expand or
- 7 contract as necessary.
- MR. ADAMS: And I guess where I'm maybe
- 9 slightly confused is if you have -- if there's a
- 10 \$2.5 million strategic plan, but it needs to change
- because of the difference, it sounds like it's more
- complicated than what you just said.
- 13 MR. BLACKWELL: Parts 2 and 3 are. The first
- part, in granting, is a mechanical process of you start
- here, you review here, you approve here, and you give
- money out here. And then you review the process. And
- that's a very mechanical piece. But on the other side
- of programs and products, it's much more difficult
- because it affects what we can actually get done with
- the remainder of the dollars.
- MR. ELMORE: And just a point of info. I
- 22 think it was about two years ago -- I'd have to go back

- and look explicitly -- when we recompeted our vet
- business outreach centers. We also received in excess
- of 30 applications. And the range of funding available
- 4 was 50- to 150,000.
- 5 So I don't remember if we went back and added
- 6 what it all would have been, but it sounds pretty
- ⁷ similar to the same kind of response you got. I'm not
- 8 surprised by that. In fact, I'm surprised perhaps that
- 9 you didn't get more.
- MR. BLACKWELL: Well, you have to understand
- that there were very stringent pieces on this. Each
- one of these grant applicants has to have a current 990
- on file with the IRS. From our perspective, that's the
- bottom line. If they don't have that 990, they're not
- a legitimate organization and it would be wrong for us
- to give them money, period.
- 17 So that cuts out a lot of folks that are just
- saying, you know, I've got this great idea and I want
- 19 to go do something. There are also folks that are
- asking for startup capital to go do something. And by
- that nature, they sort of go into a second pot/third
- pot/fourth pot because that's not the intent of the

- 1 granting process. The intent of the granting process
- is to put people who are doing work into a capability
- of launching a program or an activity that directly,
- 4 immediately benefits veteran entrepreneurship.
- 5 Yes, Tom?
- 6 MR. BEDNARCZYK: Tom Bednarczyk. I'm not sure
- 7 I'm going to ask this question the right way. But
- 8 maybe I'll get lucky. You represent the veteran
- 9 community from your mission and your objectives. And
- if I read you right, it started off with a \$3.5 million
- goal, whittled that down to 2.5 with the request, and
- came up with roughly 1.5.
- We through Bill are another group that reaches
- out to try to grab a few bucks. And it's really Bill's
- group and we're a recipient of that. So out of my
- small world, I see those two entities going up the Hill
- to ask for money. And between the two of you guys,
- it's not a heck of a lot of money that everybody's
- 19 asking for.
- 20 Has anybody -- and I'm curious, and my
- question is going to go this way: Congress holds the
- 22 purse strings. How many of you, Bill on the public

- side and yourself as the private side, go -- how many
- of you are asking Congress for veteran funds to reach
- out to the veteran community, besides the two of you?
- 4 Because so far, I look at -- from my little world in
- 5 Connecticut, I read there's a \$590 billion budget or
- 6 something, and I know that he's getting -- are you up
- 7 to 2.1 now or --
- MR. JENKINS: No. I'm still below one
- 9 million.
- MR. BLACKWELL: All right. So he's below one
- million. You're at 1.5. So out of a gazillion billion
- dollars that are coming out of the State of Connecticut
- funding, the taxes, we've got between the two of you
- guys at roughly a couple million dollars, or a little
- bit more, being funded by Congress.
- Is there anybody else that is doing anything
- for veterans that Congress is actually allocating funds
- 18 to?
- MR. ELMORE: Not specifically, because I don't
- think the VACBE is a line item. They're sort of living
- off administrative overhead over at VA. So I think
- Vets Corp. and SBA are it.

- Now, there is -- you know, as you --
- MR. BEDNARCZYK: Can I ask another question?
- Because I don't want to chew up the time. But now I'm
- going to ask -- let's switch over to another community,
- 5 Native Americans or women-owned businesses. Has
- 6 anybody explored what Congress is providing in terms of
- 7 funds for those type operations?
- MR. BLACKWELL: Sure. I think we've been very
- 9 diligent on that side.
- MR. BEDNARCZYK: Okay. Can you share? I
- don't know what the answer is.
- MR. MANCINI: Well, last year was for women-
- owned business, 112 million.
- MR. ELMORE: Well, for the centers. For the
- 15 centers that SBA --
- MR. MANCINI: Right. For the centers.
- MR. ELMORE: -- helps fund, I believe it's in
- the 12- to \$13 million range.
- MR. MANCINI: And how much for the centers
- 20 for --
- MR. ELMORE: And then in addition to that
- would be the National Women in Business Council right

- down the hall, which is, I believe, a million dollars.
- MR. BLACKWELL: It's a little more than that.
- 3 I think it's a million six.
- 4 MR. ELMORE: It might be a million and a half.
- 5 Yes, I'm not really sure.
- 6 MR. BEDNARCZYK: So we're groveling for
- 7 2.5 million between the two of you guys, and you're
- 8 telling me that other groups, socioeconomic groups, are
- 9 in the hundreds of millions or at least a hundred
- 10 million as opposed to --
- MR. GROSS: Well, there's a simple answer to
- that. And the answer is that you have a lot of
- different groups up here that advocate, but you don't
- have unity amongst everybody.
- MR. BLACKWELL: Amen. Amen. Well said.
- MR. GROSS: You know, if we don't have unity,
- we're going to -- every year it's going to be the same
- thing. And to me it's amazing that a lot of the VSOs
- and everything can't get together on an issue. And I
- understand why they don't, but if they just picked one
- issue, got all the advocates together, and
- demonstrated, hey, we do have this many veterans, we do

- 1 have this many members, and we do have clout, we'd get
- 2 an awful lot more. But I think until we --
- MR. BEDNARCZYK: Galvanize.
- 4 MR. GROSS: Galvanize and sort of come
- 5 together on an issue, hey, everybody has an agenda --
- 6 MR. BLACKWELL: Well, then let me follow --
- 7 MR. GROSS: -- but just pick a common issue
- 8 that we all work for anyway. And that's the Legion.
- 9 That's the VFW. That's all the VSOs. Bill's group.
- 10 TVC. I think if we rallied jointly, we put our agendas
- aside just for one issue, I think Bill's group would be
- 12 funded more. I think TVC would be funded more. I
- think the community as a whole would understand the
- value that the VSOs have and what they do behind the
- scenes.
- But I think until that happens -- you know,
- the veteran community hasn't figured out how to rally.
- MR. BEDNARCZYK: That's a good answer.
- MR. GROSS: The women groups, I give them
- credit, frankly, because they get it. They
- 21 understand --
- MR. JENKINS: Isn't that in part about

- 1 strategy?
- MR. GROSS: Well, that's where I'd like to
- follow, frankly, because --
- 4 MR. BLACKWELL: I think that's where you're
- 5 going.
- 6 MR. BEDNARCZYK: That's right. I'm trying to
- ⁷ get down that a strategy has many different ways to go.
- One is, obviously, we're short-funded. We need money.
- 9 So a strategy is to go after the bucks, and go after it
- through donations now.
- But what I am going to ask is because you're
- asking us of a strategy, and I think that's a very fair
- question, but we come at this -- I see our mission
- coming at it from a totally different direction.
- But in your strategy plan, what we're talking
- about, if it is the unification of the veteran
- community to fight a cause, is that part of the
- strategy that you're working on?
- MR. BLACKWELL: Not at the moment. Let me
- give you a backup because I think Mark makes a really
- good point. And I've been saying this a lot. What is
- the one word that you could identify quickly that made

- the women's movement in business really work?
- 2 Anything?
- MR. ELMORE: Unity.
- 4 MR. BLACKWELL: Really, opportunity. Isn't
- 5 that a better way to say it? You know, short, tall,
- 6 skinny, fat, whatever sector you want to talk about,
- 7 they wanted the opportunity to be there and compete in
- 8 what they saw as a glass ceiling environment.
- If you take the ANCs, it's the same deal. It
- was opportunity. But we have this one word within the
- veteran community that's destroying us. It's called
- entitlement. Okay? And until we can get our head
- around how we can -- exactly what Mark and Bill and I
- have been saying all along, is that there is this one
- huge chunk that stands in front of it and everybody
- trips on it, and that's entitlement.
- I'm entitled to this. I'm entitled to that.
- And within our own community, there are now new
- organizations springing up that say, wait a minute.
- 20 Maybe that whole 3 percent thing was wrong. Maybe it
- ought to be every veteran. Okay? Maybe, like the
- women's movement would be all women, it ought to be all

- ANCs. It ought to be all 8(a). Okay?
- So you're going to really have to get your
- head around in this committee, the same way we have to
- 4 get our head around, to say, who are these people?
- 5 What are they really doing? Is 106-50 really so
- 6 relevant?
- 7 Created in a time of peace, in a time of high
- 8 net worth and dotcoms. And now we're looking at
- 9 tremendously difficult economic base struggles. Dow
- was down another 200-plus points yesterday. We've got
- 11 people serving all over the world in greater and
- 12 greater numbers with who knows when coming home,
- creating many, many more veterans.
- 14 Where's the need? How can we mobilize it
- quickly? What's it going to cost? Nobody's doing that
- piece of work. Okay? And then how do you deliver that
- 17 effectively? Do you have time to sit down and say,
- hey, Bill, I want to tell you about my latest and
- 19 greatest thing. I don't think so.
- So there has to be -- along with unity, Mark,
- there has to be a plan that I think you as a committee
- 22 and TVC as a group have to say, we're going to directly

- 1 pursue a mobilization of unity -- I don't care what it
- is -- opportunity -- I don't care what word you use.
- 3 But the platitudes that are being all over the Hill, if
- I were the Hill, I wouldn't listen to us, either.
- 5 Okay?
- 6 MR. VARGAS: Let me jump in here.
- 7 MR. CELLI: No. Bill Dozier wanted to make a
- 8 comment some time ago. I wanted to at least let him --
- 9 MR. VARGAS: No. Let's not allow other folks
- to talk and not the membership here. Members. Okay?
- Excuse me, Bill, but I think as a member I have a
- 12 little higher rank here.
- MR. DOZIER: No. Go.
- MR. VARGAS: I want to go back to what Mark
- said, and that is this whole question of why we're here
- at this particular point, and say that this is
- something that's been troubling me. And frankly, Tom,
- 18 I think you raised yourself knowing what the answer
- 19 was.
- MR. BEDNARCZYK: You give me too much credit.
- MR. VARGAS: And that is the disparity in
- 22 approach and different agendas that we have inside the

- 1 Beltway here, which has caused us to not get the
- 2 funding for all veterans.
- Now, I think, frankly, that the solution lies
- 4 in private fundraising because then we'd get away from
- 5 this mayhem, this stabbing in the back, and working in
- 6 small circles, concentric circles, here in Washington.
- And I hope, Walt, that you're successful through the
- 8 private funding because that will solve a lot of
- 9 problems.
- But in the meantime, we have a responsibility.
- 11 This committee has a responsibility. And that is to
- 12 work collegially with organizations like Joe Wynn, like
- Walt Blackwell, the Legion, the other organizations in
- this town, to look at a common agenda that attracts
- funding to the extent we can from the government to
- support these great programs.
- I would like to see, and I know you would like
- to see, all 30 of these applicants supported. And let
- 19 me say that it ought to be more than 30. It ought to
- be a heck of a lot more. I've just been involved with
- some statewide issues in the state of Washington.
- They're hungry out there. The problem of veterans is

- dramatic. And to its credit, Washington State has a
- solid, united veteran front. It's called the Coalition
- 3 for Veterans. And they're going to get what they want.
- But I'd like to see -- I hope that we all do
- 5 here at the national level -- a common approach to this
- 6 dilemma that we have. And I would hope that whether we
- 7 have one strategic plan on your part and a strategic
- plan on our part, or whether we have a common approach,
- 9 that we can agree on one thing, and that is that we're
- 10 not going to fight each other in the trenches when it
- comes to funding for veterans programs.
- 12 That's my bit. Sorry.
- MR. CELLI: Bill?
- MR. DOZIER: That was one of the messages I
- was going to deliver. Bill Dozier, VFW. That's the
- message I wanted to deliver. The organizations have
- now united for funding. All our guidance comes from
- our membership, from the groundswell up. That
- groundswell we have not been receiving from our own
- membership that are business owners.
- Finally we're starting to get a swell. The
- 22 American Legion, BBA, VFA, AmVets, we're all working

- now together because we're starting to get involved and
- we're starting to see the younger veteran asking
- questions, contacting us, wanting information. How do
- 4 we start our own businesses?
- 5 So we are getting involved. We have Vet
- 6 Force, which is also all the VSOs and small businessmen
- 7 working together trying to work on these objectives.
- 8 The whole opportunity that we have right now with the
- 9 word just "veteran" alone, it opens doors to Congress,
- 10 both House and Senate.
- We're working on -- I don't know how many
- bills, Joe, we've got on the Hill now that we're really
- pushing through. It does work when we work together.
- But it's got to be not piecemeal. It cannot be
- splintered. It's got to be a joint activity.
- So if we're going to support Vet Corps -- I
- mean, Vet Corp. -- and his programs here at SBA,
- there's other programs that -- his program, which I
- think has done an excellent job, and I haven't talked
- to him yet about his funding. But I've talked to the
- 21 others.
- They're hurting. They're hurting bad.

- 1 They're talking about eliminating programs, and we're
- talking about increasing programs. And there again,
- you can't do that without dollars.
- 4 So you look at the funding that you were
- 5 talking about. It's going out. We can all get
- 6 together and get a funding, a lump sum, just like we
- 7 did for VA. We have an independent budget. A VSO has
- 8 an independent budget that we submit to Congress.
- 9 We just got -- what was it -- 16 billion added
- onto the budget through our efforts with Congress. I
- mean, the efforts are there. The money is there. We
- have a full headfirst plow for veterans. But we've got
- to come together and say what is the proper program.
- Why should he be duplicating the same thing
- that he's doing? Why are the women's programs sitting
- back there with all these faces, and why can't they
- handle other opportunities? So we've got to bring the
- whole thing of entrepreneurship together as one ball.
- 19 If not, we're all splintered off and it's not going to
- work.
- MR. BLACKWELL: And I would add to that, Bill,
- 22 that I think there are groups that do certain things

- 1 better. No one group can do this whole mission. It's
- too broad. There's too many industries involved.
- 3 There are too many service sectors involved. And there
- 4 has to be some kind of unification where we're not
- 5 eating our own children.
- 6 Yes, Mark?
- 7 MR. GROSS: Well, no offense, but there's also
- 8 too much bureaucracy involved.
- 9 MR. BLACKWELL: Amen. Yes.
- MR. GROSS: I mean, that's just what it is.
- MR. ELMORE: This is kind of a point, and
- maybe my timing was bad, that I tried to make to the
- committee last year, and that is that nobody's framed
- the opportunity and the options that's here. You guys
- have your plan that's sort of concentric to VC. SBA's
- got its plans that's concentric to the administration.
- 17 Not the plan I write. The VA's got its approach and
- the efforts that they make primarily focused inside VA,
- 19 but not entirely.
- VSOs are doing some work, and I'm grateful for
- that. There's a lot of legislation gets floated
- around, and I'm at a point where whatever I get is what

- I get. But nobody's put that overall, here's sort of
- the state of where this arena is. Advocacy is putting
- out great data for us that we didn't have five years
- 4 ago.
- 5 MR. BLACKWELL: That's true.
- MR. ELMORE: We can make the case arguably
- 7 that this is more than an entitlement, that this is an
- 8 opportunity. This is a real option in the world of
- 9 enabling veterans to achieve their own economic success
- during and after service, especially with Reservists.
- Nobody is making that case clearly.
- MR. BLACKWELL: Well, I think that "clearly"
- is the operative word. Clearly. Because they are
- making the case, Bill, and one of the things that we've
- stressed on all of our educational visits to Congress
- is simply this: All those other organizations that are
- agency-based and/or VSO-based, we are looking at
- where's the adder? Where's the multiplier with TVC?
- And the answer is, as a 501(c)(3) I can do
- things that you can't, won't, or shouldn't do. I can
- add an access to capital program that's on top of
- what's available, and in some cases, better than even

- 1 the Patriot loan pieces. I can also come in behind
- where Patriot says no and say yes. And we have done
- 3 that at least two or three times this past year.
- But on a more important basis, if you look at
- 5 those charts that Joe just showed us and the huge
- 6 number of people construction, we're your bonding
- 7 solution. Okay? You can study this all you want. I
- 8 can get \$30 million added to SBA's line. But we're
- 9 still the bonding independent solution.
- There are a lot of other things that should be
- coming onto our skirts that we can be enablers of. And
- frankly, we're not seen that way. We're seen as a
- 19 1990s approach to 2020 issues.
- And you're right, it has to be more unified.
- We can't go up and testify against each other. We
- can't go throw rocks at each other's houses. We've got
- to be unified. But it takes things like this. It
- takes things like joint sponsored opportunities around
- 19 the country. It takes things where Congress, and
- specifically the Senate, can see the whole picture, not
- just a picture, and make some decisions that they're
- going to really regret over long times.

- 1 MR. CELLI: If I may -- I'm sorry.
- MR. ADAMS: I'd just like to respond to what
- Felix is saying about private funding. I completely
- disagree. I don't think veterans should be beggars
- 5 that have to go out into the community and beg for
- 6 dollars.
- 7 If you're going to have programs that are
- going to be set-asides, and you're going to fund
- 9 programs for women's groups and any other group, then
- you should have the same funding coming into the
- veterans group as a minimum.
- 12 And I don't think that we should have to go in
- and look and say, especially if you're talking about
- 14 certain levels of service-disabled veterans and
- severely disabled vets, that the only way that they're
- going to make it out in the world is through the
- charity of somebody else.
- I look at it that if the government is going
- to fund groups, they ought to fund all the groups on
- some kind of an equal basis or they should do away with
- all of that funding.
- But they shouldn't come in and say what I

- think they are saying: We're going to pay lip service
- 2 to veterans, but we're going to treat them in the
- budget world as second-class citizens. And what we
- 4 really want to do is foment the disunity amongst all
- 5 the different groups.
- Because we can -- they know how to play the
- 7 game. They know how to go and pull this one up and
- 8 that one up and someone else up and manipulate and
- 9 create the controversy. And then they can step back
- and they can wash their hands and say, we really want
- 11 to help veterans. We love it. We support our troops.
- 12 But they don't seem to know what they want. And if
- they could ever tell us what they wanted, we'd be more
- than happy to do to it.
- They manipulate that. And we learned this
- when you go in and you talk to staffers. You go in to
- talk to some of the legislative staffers that are
- dealing with military issues, and the first thing you
- 19 have to do is spell the word veteran for them.
- They've never served. They don't know what it
- is that you're talking about. They don't know about
- the service-disabled vet. They don't know about

- 1 106-50. They don't know about any of this stuff. And
- they're the ones that are advising members of Congress
- 3 about military and veterans issues.
- So we have a problem, and I agree we need to
- 5 come together to go into it. But I don't think what we
- ought to do is step back and say, well, we're going to
- turn everybody into beggars, and we're going to have to
- go out and we're going to have to beg for people to
- 9 take care of us.
- We need, I think, to come together and say, do
- we march on Washington and say, damn it, if you're
- 12 going to fund other groups, you're going to fund us on
- the same kind of level with the same kind of numbers.
- 14 The numbers that advocacy is coming up are absolutely
- meaningless if we don't use them.
- And if you look at the numbers on women
- business and you look at the other numbers, and then
- 18 you start looking at proportional dollars that come out
- of Congress, I think that's what we should be focusing
- on and saying, when you look at this and you take what
- you are appropriating into these other groups, why
- 22 can't you do the same proportion for veterans? We're

- 1 not talking about -- is it an entitlement for women?
- No, it's not an entitlement. It's a problem.
- 3 Everybody else, it's a program. Only when you get into
- 4 the veterans is it an entitlement.
- Nobody wants to educate the veterans and make
- 6 sure that they're not walking in saying, I'm entitled
- 7 to a contract. And we try to tell them, you're not
- 8 entitled to a contract. You're entitled to be in a
- 9 program for veterans, just like women are in a program
- 10 for women, like blacks are in a program for blacks, and
- 11 everything else.
- But we should not be beggars. We should not
- have to go out and do private fundraising simply
- because Congress isn't doing their job. We may have to
- do that as the interim, but we should rub their nose in
- it every chance we get.
- MR. JENKINS: I'd like to make a comment. I'd
- 18 like to make a comment. Joe Jenkins here. You know,
- when I first took this position last year, the first
- thing out of my mouth was that you've all been
- 21 conditioned to do one thing, and that was, when that
- drill sergeant said, "Forward march," everybody started

- off with their left foot. They taught us unity.
- Now, we are a unique group. He says a march
- on Washington. Now, Farrakhan can do a Million Man
- 4 March. How come we can't do something like that?
- 5 Every time I go into a procurement office and I talk to
- 6 some young folks, they don't know who in the heck we
- 7 are. So I have to start the process of educating. It
- 8 sets me further back when it comes to helping the
- 9 veteran community.
- I'm glad to hear this conversation. I was
- thinking about retiring. But with this conversation,
- 12 I'd like to stay if we really move forward because we
- have to stop stabbing each other in the back. If we're
- qoing to fight in here today, then we'd better get out
- on the street and do business tomorrow.
- MR. VARGAS: Well, Billy, those are good
- points. But we do need a Farrakhan within the veterans
- community group to take us forward.
- But I wanted to respond to Paul. I don't
- think that I was suggesting that veterans be beggars.
- I'm saying at the rate we're going here, we'll do
- better probably on the private sector side than if we

- wait until it's mayhem, sorts itself out, and get no
- ² funding.
- So in the meantime, while we try to get our
- 4 act together in Washington, D.C., it is a very
- 5 necessary thing to go out and try to get something from
- the private sector because I assure you we're not going
- 7 to be facing the same kind of dilemmas, nor the
- 8 conflicted political agendas that we have.
- We don't beg before the private sector. We
- don't beg before the public sector. We have earned our
- 11 legitimate right to expect a support from an American
- community that's sent our veterans off to war.
- There were three commitments that were made
- for the veterans when they went off: One, that they
- would have a fair chance at the American dream;
- secondly, that they would get all the medical care that
- they describe; and thirdly, their disability benefits
- 18 from day one after they left active duty.
- Those three commitments are not being met to
- the veteran, and we need to get the support to them.
- 21 And whether it's public or private, fine. But you're
- talking about an idea world where, if we did this, then

- we would get all the support. Gentlemen, we don't live
- 2 in an ideal world.
- MR. CELLI: Let me just educate the committee
- 4 on one thing with regard to nonprofit organizations and
- fundraising. There are a lot of dollars out there
- 6 being given to nonprofit organizations by philanthropic
- ⁷ entities that are primarily dedicated to children,
- 8 education, and poor health. Okay? Let's just keep
- 9 that there for a minute.
- When you are successful -- and I had this
- 11 conversation with Joe Sharpe this morning -- when you
- 12 are successful in getting philanthropic dollars, it's
- 13 almost like a contract. You then have to -- you have
- to ask for those dollars for a specific reason. You
- can't just say, listen. We're vets, and we want to
- help other veterans. Can you go ahead and give us
- \$2 million, and then we'll just figure out what to do
- with it after you give us the money. It doesn't work
- 19 that way.
- You put together a proposal and you say, we
- 21 would like to take 300 veterans from Walter Reed, all
- missing limbs, and teach them how to start and grow

- their own businesses. So when that money does come in,
- that money is already predetermined and dedicated as to
- 3 what you're going to do with it.
- 4 So it's a lot more complicated with regard to
- 5 fundraising when it comes to looking at grants.
- ⁶ Fundraisers are a different story. And there are other
- ⁷ solutions. But people commonly think of going to IBM
- 8 or going to BAE or going to one of these large
- 9 organizations and saying, why can't you give us 500,000
- to help get the vets in business that you helped put
- out of commission with your artillery or whatever the
- 12 case may be. There is some truth to that, but it's a
- 13 little bit more complicated than what it sounds like
- 14 today.
- MR. BEDNARCZYK: I would just like to -- I
- started this, so I would like to just stick in two
- cents to bring this to maybe some kind of closure.
- Because I don't think we could take this
- discussion today from the 100,000-foot level to the
- 5,000-foot level because what I've heard so far, just
- teeing up my question and working this way through, is
- who is going to be the champion? That is really what

- the issue is. It is the VSO community? Is it the TVC?
- Is it the veterans advocacy group?
- But my experience in life tells me that
- without a champion, everybody is going to walk away
- from this meeting saying, man, yes, we labeled it
- 6 opportunity versus entitlement. And entitlement is the
- 7 enemy. Opportunity is the blessing.
- MR. MANCINI: The web is broken. There is no
- 9 connecting tissue amongst everyone. It's broken.
- MR. BEDNARCZYK: Okay. But Frank, I'm
- agreeing with you because what we have here is we have
- somebody from the VSO. We have somebody from the VSO.
- Now we got one, two, three -- we've got you and we've
- 14 got us.
- MR. MANCINI: I think we must be following
- certain things, like what we talked about at the last
- meeting.
- MR. GROSS: I think we created a partnership.
- 19 I'm sorry. Mark Gross. I think what you do is you
- create a partnership because obviously, everybody's
- agenda, they want to take credit for what they're
- trying to do. And I mean, that's just human nature.

- I think you create a partnership for one
- issue. Our charter here is advising in veteran
- 3 business issues. That's it. So I think you create a
- 4 partnership with the VSOs, with the SBA, with TVC, with
- 5 whoever else you want to put on it. But our only
- 6 agenda -- and we don't play in each other's back yards.
- Our only agenda is we rally for one issue. And that's
- 8 to --
- 9 MR. MADIGAN: I'm John Madigan. I'm the vice
- president for government relations for the Veterans
- 11 Corporation. I start my fifth year with TVC next
- 12 May 11th. I need to correct some incorrect views of
- what's going on.
- Mark hit the nail on the head. Jim Mingey
- testified last April. We requested \$3.7 million. I
- got a call that night at home from the staff person
- saying they really understood what was going on. They
- couldn't give us 3.7 this year. But if we did our job,
- they'd give us 3.7 million in '09.
- We presented a \$26 million five-year plan.
- 21 All of the LAs that I meet on the Hill, and I've done
- 22 it for two years now, those that don't know about

- 1 veterans for the most part want to know about veterans.
- 2 And those that do know about veterans want to help
- 3 veterans.
- So I won't blame the Congress right now. We
- were on the road to getting \$2.5 million until -- and
- 6 again, just we're all having a frank conversation -- so
- 7 some people around this table got to the Senate side,
- 8 and they asked the Senate to zero us out.
- 9 So we have no one to blame but ourselves. And
- 10 Mark hit the nail on the head. Until this group gets
- 11 together -- I lobbied for ten years for the tobacco
- 12 control movement. I thought that was conflicted. That
- was Rebecca of Sunnybrook Farm.
- MR. GROSS: Well, and you know, I think most
- of us would agree here as business owners, I mean, if I
- can't be effective at all on this committee, I don't
- want to be on it.
- MR. MANCINI: Congress is confused. They're
- upset. They don't know what's going on. They want
- this group to get our act together and come in with
- some cogent views and say what's going on. That's what
- we need to do.

- MR. VARGAS: I'm confused. Right now I'm
- totally confused. That's why I have a question and an
- answer that I'd like to close. I've been trying to do
- 4 that for like 20 minutes. But I think Joe's ready. I
- 5 would like to do that.
- 6 MR. WYNN: I'd appreciate that. I've been
- 7 trying to be patient and raise my hand.
- 8 MR. CELLI: Could you step up to the
- 9 microphone here and identify yourself?
- MR. WYNN: Like I'm not getting recognized.
- 11 I'll just come on up to the front and say hello to
- everybody. I'm Joe Wynn, representing the Vet Force,
- 13 Veterans Entrepreneurship Task Force. I'm also a
- member of the National Association for Black Veterans,
- and work closely with the Vietnam Veterans of America.
- 16 I think most of you know that.
- A couple things that are being said I just
- want to chime in on what the dialogue is about because
- 19 I've been very involved in this whole issue from the
- beginning, since 1999. Mark hit on a good point, that
- 21 perhaps there's not enough unity among the VSOs. I
- disagree with that to a great extent because we

- actually meet with most of the VSOs on a regular
- 2 monthly basis. And so we are constantly dialoguing and
- working together in our role of advocacy and outreach
- 4 to get the word out to the veterans in the community
- 5 about these programs and what's available to them.
- Where we are today, we can't completely
- overlook the history of how we evolved to this point of
- 8 some disunity. And there is some disunity between the
- 9 programs that are existing today. We got the Office of
- 10 Veterans Business Development. It has some business
- outreach centers. We got TVC, and it has previously
- 12 funded some veteran resource centers. It's conducting
- activities. SBA provides some resources. And then we
- 14 have other nonprofit providers. I even participate in
- providing services as well.
- But we are not coming together collectively to
- discuss -- and you mentioned about a strategic plan
- going forward. TVC continues to seemingly, seemingly,
- be developing strategic plans in and among its own
- direction as opposed to including all of the veterans
- community, particularly those that have been advocating
- very strongly for more programs and especially more

- 1 funding.
- Most of us who are here in the D.C. area,
- yeterans service representatives, we're the ones who
- 4 are beating the pavement constantly trying to get more
- 5 money for our veterans. So we're not opposed to any
- 6 program that you're doing that's going to help
- 7 veterans, nor am I opposed to a government SBA program.
- But if those programs are not being
- 9 accountable to the veterans who are actually here
- intended to be served, then yes, we got questions. We
- want to know why we're giving funding to an
- organization or an activity that is not being directly
- responsive to those that they are supposed to serve.
- MR. GROSS: Okay. Joe, and I don't want to
- interrupt here, but --
- MR. WYNN: So we can resolve that -- let me
- just say we can resolve that by -- and I hate to point
- to the monthly meetings of the Vet Force, but we have
- met regularly for over seven years talking about how to
- improve service delivery to our veterans on the federal
- sector, commercial sector, and how to get more
- resources.

- Why can't we be inclusive of these two
- 2 programs that are here today, TVC and Office of
- 3 Veterans Business Development, in a discussion like
- 4 that with the VSOs involved so that we work together as
- 5 one unit?
- 6 MR. GROSS: I think that's what's needed. I
- mean, I think you make a good point, though, too. I
- 8 mean, I think the Vietnam Veterans of America -- what
- ⁹ other organization?
- MR. WYNN: National Association for Black
- 11 Veterans.
- MR. GROSS: Okay. You look at the 8(a)
- programs and that, and they're a strong lobby. Do they
- have any of that division within their ranks?
- MR. WYNN: No.
- MR. GROSS: They don't? Okay. I mean no
- disrespect to any organization, but the Vietnam
- veterans organization is a shrinking organization just
- based on age and that sort of thing. The common thread
- that we have with all those organizations is just
- veterans.
- MR. CELLI: But they also happen to be the

- 1 strongest organization.
- MR. WYNN: And they're the largest -- right.
- 3 You're the largest population, the Vietnam veterans.
- 4 And as the statistics that Joe Sobota gave us today,
- 5 veterans business owners, the majority of them are
- 6 Vietnam-era vets.
- 7 MR. ADAMS: Stop. Stop. Everybody hold on.
- 8 We can't record anything when everybody's talking.
- 9 MR. WYNN: Well, let me just conclude and I'll
- step aside. I just want to say that, again, I think we
- all realize that services are needed. It's a shame
- that this government is underfunding veterans services,
- not only with this entrepreneurship initiative, but in
- all of our veterans programs is underfunded.
- We just here recently got an increase in the
- budget for health care, and we have yet to see how
- that's going to be played out. But most of the
- veterans programs have been underfunded for years.
- With this entrepreneurship initiative, I
- 20 really believe we can get more. When we talk to people
- on the Hill, as some of you have mentioned, we have to
- 22 educate them to what's happening with veterans

- interested in business. They are more receptive now.
- 2 But at the same time, there has been some difference of
- opinion about the operation of these two programs.
- We're getting very little statistical data back from
- 5 the SBA's program.
- 6 MR. GROSS: I think they issued some --
- 7 MR. WYNN: We get good reports from Walt when
- 8 he talks about his program. But at the same time,
- 9 there's still a lot of folks, because of the historical
- evolution of TVC, still don't see enough input and
- direct relationship with the veterans that they are
- 12 intended to serve.
- I think there's been a lot of improvement
- made. I mean, I'm supportive of both programs,
- personally. I'll just say that, put that on the
- 16 record. But there needs to be more working together to
- make sure that strategic plan includes us all. You
- can't just have your strategic plan and he has his, and
- then expect us to work with you both if there's some
- conflict or gaps. Let's close the gaps and go forward.
- MR. ADAMS: Can I just make one comment?
- Thank you. I've wanted to make this comment to Felix.

- 1 I agree that in the interim, we need to do private
- fundraising. But we can't have that be the solution.
- 3 That has to be our interim solution while we work to
- 4 get the funding.
- 5 What I would say, too, and I made a note here
- that we will explore, is in looking at -- one of the
- 7 things the committee does is have these meetings, put
- 8 information on the record which we can then use to
- 9 develop the report to Congress.
- So the value of this committee in this whole
- scheme of things is being an entity that puts together
- 12 a report that takes the information that's gathered up
- by all the VSOs and everybody else and puts it forward
- to Congress as a consolidated report on behalf of the
- veteran community.
- And I think one of the things that we need to
- do is made sure -- we've already got the TVC on the
- agenda -- is perhaps we need to have a portion of the
- 19 agenda where we have the VSOs come in. And then when
- we get to the point of writing a report, then we have
- 21 that as a somewhat collaborative effort where we are
- identifying, these are the issues within the veterans

- 1 community that we can agree on, that we can present a
- united report to Congress and the President, which if
- 3 we did that this year, if we assembled the evidence and
- 4 we were able to give that kind of a report, we would be
- able to do much, much more with what we end up writing
- in the committee than we have in the past.
- 7 MR. BLACKWELL: I want to just close on Paul's
- 8 thing. We are saying very strongly to Congress that we
- 9 see them as a long-term strategic partner in funding.
- We're being very clear in all of our messages. Because
- the one thing private sector doesn't want to hear is,
- 12 what do you mean the government isn't taking care of
- veterans? That's the first thing they want to say.
- 14 What's the government's role in this organization, in
- that organization, or wherever they're working from.
- So I think the government is a long-term
- strategic partnership, to kind of close on the
- discussion you and Felix were having.
- MR. CELLI: We need to wrap this up, and I
- need to make an announcement real quick.
- MR. MINGEY: Does the committee -- are they
- interested in what they brought me down here to talk

- 1 about today?
- MR. CELLI: Hold on just one second. I'm
- 3 sorry. Hold on. I need to make an announcement. The
- 4 announcement is that this room was double-booked for
- 5 the afternoon. The administrator has a management
- 6 meeting in here at 1:30. They wanted us out of here
- 7 15 minutes ago. We've agreed to be out of here at
- 8 12:45. So we've got a few more minutes.
- And I want to close off the TVC piece, and I
- apologize on behalf of the committee, Mr. Mingey, that
- you didn't get to deliver your presentation.
- MR. MINGEY: It's your loss. I'm from a
- veteran-owned business that's been helping veterans
- over the last year, you guys can sit around here and
- argue about how to do it. I do it.
- If you'd like to know how to do it, I'll
- send you some information. I have a proposal on
- 18 Mr. Elmore's desk to expand our help desk activities.
- We're doing a \$50 million proposal to the Treasury to
- develop new markets credits loans, leveraged with
- Patriot Express that we're working with SBA to do that
- will provide both more dollars and expand the Patriot

- 1 Express program, and more importantly, create technical
- assistance. And in addition to that, we're working on
- doing a Patriot bond that will help create a secondary
- 4 market for this.
- If anyone on the committee would like to
- 6 explore that further, I'll give them my business card
- 7 and we can talk about it.
- MR. CELLI: Well, and we can certainly
- 9 contract you through TVC. Correct?
- MR. MINGEY: No. I need -- next quarter --
- 11 I'm a businessman. I've been working for myself for
- 12 30 years. I don't get the luxury of going to Congress
- and saying, well, are you going to fund me this time or
- 14 that? I'm on my own nickel here doing these programs
- that are going forward.
- I'd be happy to make this information
- available to people if they can use it. Walt has me
- working with small business development centers, with
- 19 the veterans outreach centers, and referring people for
- 20 basically case management studies on veterans
- businesses. We are increasing this, the volume. It
- 22 works.

- 1 It can pay for itself. Communities will pay
- for this. You were talking about grants before. We
- raised \$2.7 million to do a veterans incubator in
- 4 Tennessee this year. It's doable, working together.
- 5 MR. ELMORE: Is there any time this afternoon
- 6 that we can get this --
- 7 MR. CELLI: And we're going to wrap it up.
- 8 Yes. We're going to talk about that in a minute.
- And I just want to close because I want to try
- to tie in all of the discussion that we had during this
- 11 past hour with regard to veteran unity and TVC. And
- that is I agree, and I think we all agree, that there
- needs to be some kind of focal point, some kind of
- pinnacle, some kind of champion, some kind of
- organization, agency, person, whatever it is, that is
- able to stand before God and country and say, I
- 17 represent all of the folks that represent all of the
- veterans of the United States of America.
- I think that that's important. That's what
- the other organizations have. And I think that that is
- something that is not in the charter of our committee.
- 22 If it were, we'd be happy to undertake it. Maybe after

- 1 lunch we can try to discuss who might be best suited to
- take on that responsibility; or if the committee feels
- 3 that they want that responsibility, to put in a request
- or put it in the end-of-the-year report to say, we'd
- like to be able to do this. Whatever the case may be,
- 6 we can work on that later. We can work on that later.
- 7 MR. BLACKWELL: Mr. Chairman, I have two
- 8 closing comments that I think are really important for
- 9 this committee to hear.
- One is that we presented to OMB, as we do each
- 11 year, what we have planned, how we plan to do it. They
- were very polite, as they have been in past years, and
- we left the room. We did an appeal with all the
- different administrators and their people on listening
- 15 to us.
- The bottom line is, it's viewed by OMB that we
- are redundant, that SBA and VA are in place. We've
- stressed that we can do things you can't do, won't do,
- or shouldn't do. Doesn't matter. It's deaf ears. So
- we have hurdle No. 1 is to convince there's a
- uniqueness, a difference, an ability that the
- government has through an organization that they need

- 1 to exploit.
- The second thing I want to punch the ticket on
- is: Quit delivering the sins of the father on the son.
- 4 Okay? Stop that. As a veteran community, as people
- 5 who are interested in veterans, we only have one issue.
- 6 How do we do it better, quicker, cleaner, and less
- 7 costly?
- 8 So I leave you with those two thoughts.
- 9 You've got to unify. You've got to quit delivering
- sins on the son. And you've got to come up with a plan
- that works. We're here. We'll give you our plan.
- 12 It's not a question of me not wanting to have that
- 13 plan. You need the right plan. Okay? And you'll have
- that. That's next week. All right?
- MR. CELLI: And again, what I'm hearing once
- again is that if TVC and SBA and all of the veterans
- organizations and the advocates all had one unified
- message, then OMB wouldn't be getting the impression
- 19 that services are being duplicated and that there isn't
- an additional need for funds to trickle down to the
- folks who are doing the work within the field.
- So the last thing is, Scott Denniston actually

Page 154

```
1 pointed out a very profound thing to me during one of
```

- the hearings. When we were in the Veterans Affairs
- 3 Committee hearing, I said, why is it we all can't just
- work together, as we're talking about here? And he
- 5 said, well, look up at the wall.
- And behind all of the members of Congress,
- ⁷ there had to be 35 flags, and each one of the flags, I
- 8 mistakenly thought without looking at them, represented
- 9 the states of the United States. But they don't. They
- 10 represent all of the different veterans organizations
- that the committee now represents. So it's not going
- to be an easy task, but it's not going to be an
- impossible task.
- So let's go ahead and take a break. The
- 15 committee members, if we could just kind of huddle
- because we've got about 30 minutes before we have to be
- walking out that door so they can come in and set up
- 18 for their next meeting. We'll discuss how we want to
- continue through for the rest of the afternoon.
- 20 (Whereupon, at 12:18 p.m., the committee was
- adjourned.)

22 * * * * *